

NUSSRAH

MAGAZINE

JANUARY/ FEBRUARY 2014
RABI I/ RABI II 1435

ISSUE 16

AMERICA SEEKS
PERMANENT
PRESENCE
THROUGH TALKS

THE MYTH OF A
UNILATERAL US
ATTACK ON
PAKISTAN

THE ROLE OF THE
ULEMA IN THE
ESTABLISHMENT
OF THE
KHILAFAH STATE

RABI UL AWWAL'S GREATEST GIFT, RASULALLAH (SAW)

THE GREAT MONTH OF THE BIRTH OF
RASULALLAH (SAW) AND HIS
ESTABLISHMENT OF THE ISLAMIC STATE

TAFSEER OF AL-BAQARAH 92-96
EXTRACT FROM THE BOOK OF THE AMIR OF
HIZBUT-TAHRIR, SHEIKH ATA IBN KHALIL ABU AL-RASHTA,
"AT-TAYSEER FEE USOOL AT-TAFSEER"

RUSSIAN FOREIGN
MINISTER ATTACKS
THE KHILAFAH

Nussrah Magazine Issue 16

January/ February 2014 – Rabi ul-Awwal/ Rabi uth-Thaane 1435 AH

Contents

Editorial: The Real Internal Threat	01
Shaikh Ata ibn Khalil Abu Ar-Rashta: Tafseer: Al-Baqarah 92-96	02
Feature Article: Rabi ul-Awwal's Greatest Gift, RasulAllah (saw)	04
Naseer al-Islam Mehmood: The Betrayal of Islam and Muslims by the Armies	06
Role of the Ulema in the Establishment of the Khilafah State	08
Khalid Salahudeen: The Myth of a US Attack on Pakistan	12
Abid Mustafa- Pakistan Must Sever Ties with America for Future Economic Sustainability	18
Imran Khan- A New Jockey for America's Horse, Democracy	22
Martyrdom in Syria	25
Russian Foreign Minister Attacks the Khilafah	26
Q& A: The Stance of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Egypt	27
America Seeks A Permanent Presence Through Talks	35
Nussrah For Khilafah Bayyan Campaign	39

Price: Rs. 30/-

The Real Internal Threat

Our real internal threat is the substantial American presence within Pakistan. It is this American presence which is the source of the intricate planning, huge funding and sophisticated arms supply that allows the attacks on military and civilian targets. This is also why such attacks never target American assets, of which there are many on our soil, but always target ours. Ending drone attacks, partial withdrawal of foreign troops, maintaining military presence in the troubled tribal areas and adjusting ties with America are not addressing the root of our security problems.

Such damaging interference in the affairs of another nation is a feature of American foreign policy. American colonialist foreign policy is based on foreign interventionism, a state's intervention in the affairs of another nation. Pakistan is far from alone in suffering American interference. From Latin America through Africa and the Middle East onwards to South East Asia, America has instigated chaos in other nations, with policies such as low intensity conflict and covert operations. Low intensity conflict destroy internal stability, justifying repeated American interference. As for covert operations, such as "false flag" attacks in the name of the enemy, they act to make sure the low intensity conflict continues. In Pakistan's case, America has ensnared our armed forces in a low intensity conflict within the tribal areas. America uses covert operations to attack our military and civilian targets. It has both launched attacks independently, in Raymond Davis fashion, as well as embedded its agents in the loose, susceptible tribal groupings. And these attacks are made in the name of the tribal people to provide justification for continuing the conflict.

What America expects other nations to tolerate, it will never tolerate itself. The "Monroe Doctrine" as it came to be known, was a policy of the United States introduced on December 2, 1823 during President James Monroe's seventh annual State of the Union Address to Congress. It stated that further efforts by European nations to colonize land or interfere with states in North or South America would be viewed as acts of

aggression, requiring US intervention. Essentially, the United States was informing the powers of the Old World that the American continents were no longer open to European influence, and that any effort to extend European political hegemony into the New World would be considered by the United States "as dangerous to our peace and safety." The United States would not interfere in European wars or internal affairs, and firmly expected Europe to stay out of American affairs. By the end of the nineteenth century, Monroe's declaration was seen as a defining moment in the foreign policy of the United States and one of its longest-standing tenets.

However, though America despised European intervention in its neighboring nations, America saw no problem in its own intervention in Latin American nations. As Pakistan knows too well, the means included political and military contact, intelligence assets such as the CIA and diplomatic facilities, the embassy and consulates. In time Latin America had good cause to resent the Monroe Doctrine, as an excuse for America to replace European hegemony with its own. This resentment is what led America to disavow the maligned Monroe Doctrine "officially", though not practically. In November 2013, Secretary of State John Kerry declared that "the era of the Monroe Doctrine is over."

So much for the American double standards! And so much for rulers that plead with us to swallow this hypocrisy, just so that they can acquire praise and wealth from their foreign masters. It is upon the Muslims to lead the world in rejecting American hypocrisy. Only the Khilafah has the necessary political will to reject American interventionism and eradicate the physical means for this to occur, the American embassies, consulates, bases, intelligence, military and diplomatic missions. This will is mandated by the Islamic Aqeedah which forbids the domination of the affairs of Muslims by kufr and its people. And the exercise of such a will to eradicate the American cancer from within our body, would be an example for other nations to admire and follow.

Tafseer: Al-Baqarah 92-96

From the Book “Introduction to the Tafseer of the Quran” by the Ameer of Hizb ut-Tahrir, the eminent jurist and statesman, Shaikh Ata ibn Khalil Abu Ar-Rashta:

وَلَقَدْ جَاءَكُمْ مُوسَىٰ بِالْبَيِّنَاتِ ثُمَّ اتَّخَذْتُمُ الْعِجْلَ مِن بَعْدِهِ وَأَنْتُمْ ظَالِمُونَ (92)

وَإِذْ أَخَذْنَا مِيثَاقَكُمْ وَرَفَعْنَا فَوْقَكُمُ الطُّورَ خُذُوا مَا آتَيْنَاكُم بِقُوَّةٍ وَاسْمِعُوا قَالُوا سَمِعْنَا وَعَصَيْنَا وَأَشْرَبُوا فِي قُلُوبِهِمُ الْعِجْلَ بِكُفْرِهِمْ قُلْ بِنَسَمَا يَأْمُرُكُمْ بِهِ إِيْمَانُكُمْ إِنْ كُنْتُمْ مُؤْمِنِينَ (93)

قُلْ إِنْ كَانَتْ لَكُمْ الدَّارُ الْآخِرَةُ عِنْدَ اللَّهِ خَالِصَةً مِن دُونِ النَّاسِ فَتَمَنَّوْا الْمَوْتَ إِنْ كُنْتُمْ صَادِقِينَ (94)

وَلَنْ يَتَمَنَّوْهُ أَبَدًا بِمَا قَدَّمْت أَيْدِيهِمْ وَاللَّهُ عَلِيمٌ بِالظَّالِمِينَ (95)

وَلَتَجِدَنَّهُمْ أَحْرَصَ النَّاسِ عَلَى حَيَاةٍ وَمِنَ الَّذِينَ أَشْرَكُوا يَوَدُّ أَحَدُهُمْ لَوْ يُعَمَّرَ أَلْفَ سَنَةٍ وَمَا هُوَ بِمُرْضَخِهِ مِنَ الْعَذَابِ أَنْ يُعَمَّرَ وَاللَّهُ بَصِيرٌ بِمَا يَعْمَلُونَ (96)

“(92) Musa brought you the Clear Signs; then you worshipped the Calf, after he left, and were wrongdoers. (93) Remember when We made a covenant with you and lifted up the Mount above your heads: ‘Take hold vigorously of what We have given you and listen.’ They said, ‘We hear and disobey.’ They were made to absorb the Calf into their hearts because of their kufr. Say, ‘If you are Believers, what an evil thing your iman has made you do.’ (94) Say, ‘If the abode of the akhira with Allah is for you alone, to the exclusion of all others, then long for death if you are telling the truth.’ (95) But they will never ever long for it because of what they have done. Allah knows the wrongdoers. (96) Rather you will find them the people greediest for life, along with the idolaters. Any of them would love to be allowed to live a thousand years. But being allowed to live would not save him from the punishment. Allah sees what they do.”

1. Allah sent down clear signs as definite proof and supporting miracles of his (Musa’s) Prophethood. They are nine signs mentioned elsewhere, وَلَقَدْ آتَيْنَا مُوسَىٰ تِسْعَ آيَاتٍ بَيِّنَاتٍ “And indeed We gave Musa nine clear signs.” [Surah Al-Isra’a 17:101] Such as the stick turning into a snake, his hand coming out white for the onlookers, the locusts, frogs, blood, etc. In these is that which definitively

proves Musa’s Prophethood. Yet, they took the calf as a god after Musa went to Mount Tur to meet his Lord. Thus they were *Dhalimeen* (wrongdoers), as they put the matter out of its place, making the calf a god, when it is not so. ثُمَّ اتَّخَذْتُمُ الْعِجْلَ “then you worshipped the Calf” This means that there was a delay, i.e. that they spent some time considering the signs. So, the signs came, then they pondered them, yet after realizing their definitiveness as proof of Musa’s truthfulness; they still took the calf as their god. So, this is a reprimand of them.

2. Then they came back, so Allah mentions a covenant and raising the mountain above their heads, and that they should take Allah’s signs seriously and strive. This is similar to what was mentioned in a previous verse: وَإِذْ أَخَذْنَا مِيثَاقَكُمْ وَرَفَعْنَا فَوْقَكُمُ الطُّورَ خُذُوا مَا آتَيْنَاكُم بِقُوَّةٍ وَادْكُرُوا مَا فِيهِ لَعَلَّكُمْ تَتَّقُونَ “And (O Children of Isra’iil, remember) when We took your covenant and We raised above you the Mount (saying): ‘Hold fast to that which We have given you, and remember that which is therein so that you may acquire Taqwa.’” [Surah Al-Baqarah 2:63] Repetition of the verse is for emphasis, which is that – there is no value in listening to Allah’s command, unless it is listening, with following the order as it is. i.e. obedience, with acceptance. Allah says in the verse اسْمِعُوا “Listen.” yet they replied سَمِعْنَا وَعَصَيْنَا “We hear and disobey” So, the reply indicates that اسْمِعُوا “Listen” includes hearing and obeying, and accepting too, even though it is not mentioned. Often accepting is wanted from listening, as we say in prayer: *Sami Allah li man Hamida* “And Allah heard the one who praises.” وَأَشْرَبُوا فِي قُلُوبِهِمْ The *waw* “and” is for *haal* “situation” i.e. they said ‘we hear and we disobey’ whilst they were in love with their calf, i.e. deep in their hearts. They said: سَمِعْنَا وَعَصَيْنَا “we hear and we is obey” بِكُفْرِهِمْ “because of their kufr” i.e. for the reason of their kufr. Then Allah ends the verse explaining that they are liars in their claims of *iman*, because *iman* is the opposite or nullifier of *kufr*, so, *Iman* does not ask you to take a calf as a god, or to love in your hearts the calf

as a god, nor does it yield as a result the stopping of listening to and obeying of Allah the Creator and the Worshipped. Linking the issue to *iman* and connecting it to the pronoun *kum* in His saying: **قُلْ بِئْسَمَا يَأْمُرُكُمْ بِهِ إِيمَانُكُمْ إِنْ كُنْتُمْ مُؤْمِنِينَ** “Say, ‘If you are Believers, what an evil thing your iman has made you do’ is cynically scornful, as in His saying. **أَصْلَاتُكَ تَأْمُرُكَ** “does your Salah command you” [Surah Hud 11:87]. **قُلْ بِئْسَمَا يَأْمُرُكُمْ بِهِ إِيمَانُكُمْ إِنْ كُنْتُمْ مُؤْمِنِينَ** “Say, ‘If you are Believers, what an evil thing your iman has made you do’ i.e. if you were truthful believers in taking the calf as your god and embedding its love in your hearts.

3. Then Allah exposes their lie claiming that *Jannah* is just for them. **وَقَالُوا لَنْ نَدْخُلَ الْجَنَّةَ إِلَّا مَنْ كَانَ هُودًا أَوْ نَصَارَى** “And they say, “None shall enter Paradise unless he be a Jew or a Christian” [Surah Al-Baqarah 2:111] He establishes the proof against them, that if they are truthful, then they should be longing for death, i.e. the meeting with Allah. If they were the beloved of Allah, as they claimed: **وَقَالَتْ يَا أَيُّهَا الْمَسِيُّومَنَّا إِنَّا كَانُوا أَكْبَرُ مِنْكُمْ وَاللَّهُ يَعْلَمُ أَعْيُنُنَا وَمَآ تَفْكُرُونَ** “And the Jews and the Christians say: ‘We are the ‘children of Allah and His loved ones.’” [Surah Al-Maida 5:18] then, they would be rushing to long for death to confirm their truthfulness, but if they do not do so, then they are liars. This is exactly what happened, so they did not desire death, because they know what they have done of kufr and evil, so they are afraid of their meeting with Allah: **وَلَنْ يَتَمَنَّوْهُ أَبَدًا بِمَا قَدَّمْت أَيْدِيهِمْ وَاللَّهُ عَلِيمٌ بِالظَّالِمِينَ** “But they will never long for it because of what their hands have sent before them (i.e. what they have done). And Allah is Aware of the oppressors” [Surah Al-Baqarah 2:95] This is among the definite sensory proofs that Allah established regarding the Jews and the Christians who were in the time of Rasool Allah صلى الله عليه وسلم, that if they were true in what they said regarding *jannah* being just for them, then they would long for death, and this is in relation to the Jews. If the Christians were true in what they said of Isa عليه السلام, in that he was not a slave, but a god with Him, then they would have accepted the *mubahalalah*: **فَقُلْ تَعَالَوْا نَدْعُ أَبْنَاءَنَا وَأَبْنَاءَكُمْ وَنِسَاءَنَا وَنِسَاءَكُمْ وَأَنْفُسَنَا وَأَنْفُسَكُمْ ثُمَّ نَبْتَهِلْ فَنَجْعَلْ لُغْنَةَ اللَّهِ عَلَى الْكَاذِبِينَ** “Say: ‘Come, let us call our sons and

your sons, our women and your women, ourselves and yourselves, then we pray and we invoke Allah’s curse upon the liars.” [Aali Imran 3:61] But, both groups in the time of the Messenger صلى الله عليه وسلم did not do so. The Jews did not long for death, nor did the Christians of Najraan accept the *mubahalalah*. So, it is a definite proof against them, if they were intelligent.

4. The result of the corruption of the Jews and their causing corruption is that they are afraid of dying due the darkness of their ways. Therefore, they are the staunchest of people in clinging on to life. Rather, the *mushrikeen* who do not believe except in this life, cling on to it with all their might, as they do not believe in another life, yet despite that the Jews cling to it even more than the *mushrikeen*. **وَلَتَجِدَنَّهُمْ أَحْرَصَ النَّاسِ عَلَى حَيَاةٍ** “Rather you will find them the people greediest for life.” i.e. life in general, any life, but it is succeeded by an understanding which completes the verse **يَوْمَ أَحَدُهُمْ لَوْ يُعَمَّرُ أَلْفَ سَنَةٍ** “Any of them would love to be allowed to live a thousand years.” i.e. a long life. **أَحَدُهُمْ** “Any of them” could refer to the *mushrikeen*, i.e. the Jews cling more than the *mushrikeen*, anyone of which might wish to live for a thousand years, as he does not believe in any life other than this life, so he wants to live in it the longest time possible. And maybe **أَحَدُهُمْ** “Any of them” refers to the Jews, i.e. that one of them might wish for a long life and this is preferred, due to the indication in: **وَمَا هُوَ بِمُرْخِزِهِ مِنَ الْعَذَابِ أَنْ يُعَمَّرَ** “But being allowed to live would not save him from the punishment.” The *mushrikeen* do not believe that there is another life, so they do not believe in the punishment. The Jews, however, do believe in the next life and punishment, as they know what their hands have done of evil, so they do not like to die, so as to make the punishment as far from them as possible. Allah knows, that however long their lives, a thousand or more – here thousand is used to mean a lot – the punishment must come to them, because in the end they will die and then return to their Lord. Allah ends the verse that He sees their actions and will give them what they deserve: **وَاللَّهُ بِمَا يَعْمَلُونَ بَصِيرٌ** “Allah sees what they do.”

Rabi ul-Awwal's Greatest Gift, RasulAllah (saw)

Musab ibn Umair, Pakistan

The month of Rabi ul-Awwal is a month of great significance for the Ummah of Sayyidina Muhammad SAW. Not only did Rabi ul-Awwal witness the gift of the birth of Muhammad SAW, it witnessed his appointing as the Final Prophet, the Mercy to all Humankind. Not only did Rabi ul-Awwal witness the rise of Muhammad SAW into Nabuwwa, it witnessed his SAW rise into ruling, through a Hijrah that established him SAW as a ruler of Madinah. And Rabi ul-Awwal witnessed his SAW return to Allah SWT, to ascend as a merciful intercessor for his SAW Ummah on the Day of Judgment.

The appointing of Muhammad SAW as the Mercy to all Humankind, the final Prophet and Messenger occurred during Rabi ul-Awwal. Abu al-Hasan Ali bin Hussein bin Ali Masudi (d. : 346 AH) narrated in his book, **التنبية والإشراف** Warning and Nobility as follows:

... فلما بلغ أربعين سنة بعثه الله عز وجل الى الناس كافة يوم الاثنين لعشر خلون من شهر ربيع الأول... وله صلى الله عليه وسلم يومئذ أربعون سنة وتوزع في أول من آمن به من الذكور، بعد إجماعهم على أن أول من آمن به من الإناث خديجة

"... And when he reached forty years of age, Allah SWT appointed him as Prophet to all the people on Monday in the first ten days of RabiulAwwal ... On that day RasulAllah SAW was forty years of age and he was the first of the men to believe, and by consensus (of the Companions) Khadija RA was the first of the women to believe." And Ahmed bin Mohammed bin Abu Bakr bin Abdul Malik Al-Qastalaani, Al-Qutaybee, Al Misree, Abul Abbas, Shahabuddin (d. : 923) in his book **المواهب اللدنية بالمنح المحمدية** "The Bounties for Earth by the Granting of Muhammad":

"ولما بلغ رسول الله- صلى الله عليه وسلم- أربعين سنة..."

وقال ابن عبد البر: يوم الاثنين لثمان من ربيع الأول سنة إحدى وأربعين من الفيل. وقيل: في أول ربيع:

بعثه الله رحمة للعالمين، ورسولا إلى كافة الثقلين أجمعين"

"When RasulAllah - SAW – reached forty years of age ... And Ibn Abd al-Barr said: Monday the Eighth of Rabi ul-Awwal forty-one years after the elephant. It is said: in RabiulAwwal, Allah appointed him as a Mercy to all Humankind and a Messenger to all races."

And how unique was this appointment in the distinguished history of the Prophets. RasulAllah SAW was given the elevated status amongst all the Prophets AS. For Muhammad SAW was not for a certain people for a certain time, like the previous Prophets such as those who were sent to the people of Aad, Thamud, Lut or Bani Isra'eel. No, Muhammad SAW was for all races for all times, from the moment of his blessed appointment until the end of time. Allah SWT said, **وَمَا أَرْسَلْنَاكَ إِلَّا رَحْمَةً لِّلْعَالَمِينَ** "We did not send you save as a Mercy to all of Humankind." [Surah Al-Anbiyya 21:107] Thus he SAW came for all the peoples, the Arab and the non-Arab, the African and the Asian, the European and the American. His SAW message was as a mercy for all humankind and for prevailing over all the other ways of life, even though those who make partners with Allah SWT may dislike it.

How he SAW took to this monumental responsibility that had never been bestowed before to any of the slaves of Allah SWT and never will be again! How he SAW strove restlessly, enduring great hardships with patience, speaking with wisdom and compassion, purifying souls of corruption and forging the firm foundation of a great Ummah in the form of mountains of men, his Companions RA. There was confirmation

Feature Article: Rabi ul-Awwal's Greatest Gift, RasulAllah (saw)

within these Companions RA of his SAW status as a messenger to all races, for within them was found Sohaib RA the Roman, Salman RA the Persian and Bilal RA the African, as well as the Companions from the numerous tribes of Arabia. He SAW forged them upon Iman as a brotherhood built on the bond stronger than mere lineage and blood, the bond of love for Allah SWT and His Messenger SAW. These firmly bonded rows of Companions RA then emerged as a structural marvel before the tribal structures of the time. Their very brotherhood struck at the bonds of tribalism, nationalism and racism, lowly bonds which deprive humankind of mercy by replacing compassion with enmity, co-operation with rivalry and security with continual conflict.

After the emergence of the people of RasulAllah SAW within Makkah, the glorious message of Mercy lit up the Arabian Peninsula. It was a message of hope for the oppressed, languishing under the tribal system, and a warning for the oppressor, the cruel amongst the tribal heads. People from far and wide were attracted to its truth. However, at the same time the oppressors became steeped in arrogant rejection, their hearts hardened and sealed by their kufr. They used their authority to persecute RasulAllah SAW and all those who submitted to Islam. They spread lies about the message and the Messenger SAW, they gave chase and they tortured till martyrdom. Yet, RasulAllah SAW persisted in his call, fearing none but Allah SAW. And he SAW strove in secrecy, with stealth and wisdom, to seek the Nussrah, the Material Support, from its people, the men of war, by which to establish his Message as an authority and a rule.

And at this time of severe testing, RabiulAwwal was witness to another gift, that of the Hijrah of RasulAllah SAW to establish Islam as a state for the first time, in Madinah, Al-Munawwara, illuminated by the light of Guidance. Having secured the Nussrah from the two strong tribes of Madinah, Bani Khazraj and Bani al-Aws, the stage was set

for his SAW Hijrah from the oppression in Makkah to ruling by Islam in Madinah. Bukhaari in his Saheeh from Ibn Shihab who said that Urwah ibn al-Zubayr told him,

« وَسَمِعَ الْمُسْلِمُونَ بِالْمَدِينَةِ مَخْرَجَ رَسُولِ اللَّهِ صَلَّى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ مِنْ مَكَّةَ... فَتَلَقَوْا رَسُولَ اللَّهِ صَلَّى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ بِظَهْرِ الْحَرَّةِ، فَعَدَلَ بِهِمْ ذَاتَ الْيَمِينِ، حَتَّى نَزَلَ بِهِمْ فِي بَنِي عَمْرِو بْنِ عَوْفٍ، وَذَلِكَ يَوْمَ الْاِثْنَيْنِ مِنْ شَهْرِ رَبِيعِ الْأَوَّلِ...»

« and Muslims of Madinah heard of the departure of RasulAllah SAW from Makkah... and they met RasulAllah SAW in Bani Amr Ibn Auf's tribe, and that was on a Monday in the month of Rabi ul-Awwal ... » And narrated Ibn Hibbaan in his Saheeh from al – Baraa who said:

أَنَّ قُدُومَهُ صَلَّى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ الْمَدِينَةَ كَانَ يَوْمَ الْاِثْنَيْنِ لِاِثْنَتَيْ عَشْرَةَ لَيْلَةً خَلَّتْ مِنْ رَبِيعِ الْأَوَّلِ

“the arrival of RasulAllah SAW was on Monday on the night of the twelfth of Rabi ulAwwal.” At-Tabari said in his history called "The History of the Messengers and Rulers":

(حَدَّثَنَا ابْنُ حُمَيْدٍ، قَالَ: حَدَّثَنَا سَلْمَةُ، عَنْ ابْنِ اسْحَاقَ، عَنِ الزُّهْرِيِّ، قَالَ: قَدِمَ رَسُولُ اللَّهِ ﷺ الْمَدِينَةَ يَوْمَ الْاِثْنَيْنِ، لِاِثْنَتَيْ عَشْرَةَ لَيْلَةً خَلَّتْ مِنْ شَهْرِ رَبِيعِ الْأَوَّلِ)

“We heard from Ibn Hamid, who said: We heard from Salamah , from Ibn Ishaq, from Az-Zohri who said: RasulAllah SAW arrived in Madinah on Monday of the night of the twelfth of Rabi ul Awwal.”

Thus Rabiul Awwal, was the month in which RasulAllah SAW, who had been granted the Nabuwwa (Prophethood) was now granted the Hukm (Ruling). Now the first Islamic state had been established, allowing Islam to be implemented in all its glory. RasulAllah SAW established rulers over the regions, he SAW appointed judges and established the foundations of a vigilant judiciary, he SAW formed a state treasury, raising revenues and spending upon the citizenry and he SAW raised a strong and powerful army. He SAW invited the other tribes and nations, dispatching messages to the kings and

Continued on Page 21

The Betrayal of Islam and Muslims by the Armies

Naseer al-Islam Mahmoud

At a time when the Islamic Ummah is reviving from its decline and is demanding an end of Western domination, the Muslim armies are defending the current illegal regimes that were imposed by the kufr West upon the Islamic Ummah. Instead of standing with the Ummah and its demand for liberation from Western domination through ridding it of these regimes, replacing them with all that Allah (SWT) favored the people with, the Deen of Islam and its rule; these armies began to defend these regimes, even if it required them to commit massacres against the Muslim people, who rose up against the treacherous rulers, as is seen in Egypt. Regrettably, the armies seem to stand on the side of Falsehood against the Haq and the Ummah.

In view of this sad picture, with the action of the armies towards the Ummah, which undoubtedly has its causes, we need to evaluate those causes that brought the armies to stand with the tyrants. We must understand if this depressing situation is permanent or only temporary, such that it can be overcome in the coming days, especially in the light of the Khilafah State, which is coming very soon, Insha'Allah.

As for the causes for the support of the Muslim armies for the pro- Western regimes that govern with kufr; there are several causes; most notably:

1. The presence of pro-Western high-ranking military leaders aiming to invest their positions in satisfying their egos and amass fortunes. Therefore, they grip onto their positions, dispense of their military honor, in order to maintain their positions. They become Generals for personal wealth rather than weapons and war. Such leaders are not competent to lead the Muslim armies, and

they undoubtedly are the cause of dishonoring the Ummah before its enemies. They are the bridge that the West uses to intervene in the affairs of the Ummah, and even control the Ummah, by their guarding the Western regimes that are imposed on the Ummah. Such leaders lead the armies of Muslim countries to protect the thrones of tyrants and the current illegal regimes. And if it happened that they have to lead them in real wars, they lead them in the wars against the Ummah for the benefit of the kafir occupiers, such as the armies who were engaged in the war against Iraq with coalition forces from the Western Crusaders. Or in the case of Afghanistan, there was participation of some Muslim armies, such as the Pakistani army, some battalions of the UAE army, and others, to help the crusader coalition forces, led by America, towards the occupation and destruction of Afghanistan. However, if the military leaders were like the leaders of the Muslim armies that history has witnessed, from amongst the Companions RA and their successors from those who lived under the rule of Islam for over thirteen centuries, the Ummah would not live in humiliation and weakness. Indeed, there is a big difference between the current rulers leaders and the Prophet Muhammad SAW, the first commander of the Muslim army. When Muslims arranged themselves in rows in Badr, the Prophet SAW began to straighten the rows with the help of an arrow. Accidentally, he pricked Sawad bin Ghaziyyah in his stomach, trying to push him back, saying, **استو يا سواد** "Sawad. Fall in line." Sawad replied, "O Messenger of Allah, you have hurt me. Allow me retribution." The Prophet SAW raised his shirt to bare his stomach and said, **استقد** "Go ahead with the retribution." Sawad bent down and kissed it. He SAW asked, **ما حملك على هذا يا** "What made you do that Sawad?" He replied, "O Messenger of Allah. You can see

what we are faced with. I thought, 'Let my final act be that my skin touches yours.'" The Prophet SAW supplicated for him. Once a Muslim leader was served with good food, the leader asked if soldiers were served with this type of food in the army? They replied no. He said, then do not serve me but with that which you serve the general soldiers.

2. The separation of the Islamic Aqeedah in Muslim armies from the current military doctrine. The doctrine of armies in the Islamic world should be the Islamic Aqeedah, but the military doctrine in the current armies is separated from the Islamic doctrine. Therefore, it's not surprising that the Muslim soldier fights his brother in Islam in conflicts of nationalism, racism or other forms of ignorance. It is as if the Islamic Aqeedah that bonds them has no effect in their actions, their disputes or their fighting. This is why we witness that the Islamic pride does not incite the soldier in the armies of the Muslim world to mobilize, when he hears and sees the woes of his brothers and sisters in different parts of the world, including the country that he is in! Thus, the Muslim soldier does not link the Islamic Aqeedah that binds him and the oppressed, who call him for their rescue. The examples are many, the least of which are not Muslims in Syria, Burma, Palestine, Kashmir and Afghanistan. You do not find the soldier in the Muslim armies linking his Iman, that he connects with his Salah and some other worships, especially the Sunnah Ibaadat, but he does not connect it with his duty of supporting his oppressed brothers and sisters. So despite reading the Ayah **وَإِنْ اسْتَنْصَرُواكُمْ فِي الدِّينِ فَعَلَيْكُمْ النَّصْرُ** "If they seek your help in Deen, helping is obliged upon you." [Surah Al-Anfaal 8:72] However, they do not associate this verse with the duty of their support of their brothers and sisters in Deen. This is even though they have memorized the story of the Muslim woman who sought help crying "وا معتصماه" "O Mu'tasim," and as a response Mu'tasim extending help, freeing her and those who were with her, and opening the lands within which is found Ankara in the

course of doing so. However, they read this story only to bask in the glories of their forefathers, but not to follow in their honorable footsteps.

The Islamic Aqeedah must be the basis of the military doctrine in the Muslims' armies. The duty of the Muslim army is to protect the Islamic belief, the Muslims, the Islamic State and to secure the spreading of the message of Islam for all humankind. And the Muslim army must stand against anything that threatens these matters. Moreover the Islamic armies must sacrifice their lives to defend them and to preserve these vital matters.

3. The absence of an Islamic culture in the Muslim armies, rather they are educated with non-Islamic cultures. Consequently these armies are weak before the kafir occupiers. The West imposes its culture as a condition to supplying our armies with weapons. It subjects our armies to take courses that glorify and adorn the corrupt Western freedoms and democracy, as well as the fight against Islam under the pretext of fighting terrorism. This has occurred to a point that our armies now target our people and consider us terrorists. So, we find military intelligence targeting those that are committed to Islam and working for the revival of the Islamic Ummah. Yet they do not care about the Western countries' conspiracies against the Ummah. For example, the Pakistani military is cultured to satisfy and please America and serve their interests. So, the Pakistani Army has adopted an internal enemy as defined by America, "political Islam and jihadists." It has considered them as an enemy and neglects the external enemies, America and India. It has started curbing the Mujahideen who resist the American occupation in the region, maintaining that they are terrorists that threaten the "national interests." And in Pakistan also, the military intelligence has started spying on the dawah carriers amongst the military and civilians, who are working

Continued on Page 11

Role of the Ulema in the Establishment of the Khilafah State

Engineer Osman Bakhach, Director of The Central Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir, participated by delivering a speech via Skype at the conference: "The Role of Ulema in the Establishment of the Khilafah", held in Jakarta, Indonesia, on Tuesday 18th Dhul Qi'ddah 1434 AH, corresponding to 24th September 2013. The following is the full text of the speech:

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

Praise be to Allah, who says in the Holy Book, and His saying is but the truth:

كُنْتُمْ خَيْرَ أُمَّةٍ أُخْرِجَتْ لِلنَّاسِ تَأْمُرُونَ بِالْمَعْرُوفِ وَتَنْهَوْنَ عَنِ الْمُنْكَرِ وَتُؤْمِنُونَ بِاللَّهِ

“You are the best nation produced [as an example] for mankind. You enjoin what is right and forbid what is wrong and believe in Allah.” [Ali-Imran, 3:110]

And Peace and Blessings upon the Messenger of Allah, commander of the Mujahideen, who said:

«لَتَأْمُرَنَّ بِالْمَعْرُوفِ، وَتَنْهَوْنَ عَنِ الْمُنْكَرِ، وَلَتَأْخُذَنَّ عَلَى يَدِ الظَّالِمِ، وَلَتَأْطُرُنَّهُ عَلَى الْحَقِّ أَطْرًا، أَوْ لَيَضْرِبَنَّ اللَّهُ قُلُوبَ بَعْضِكُمْ عَلَى بَعْضٍ، وَلَيَلْعَنَنَّكُمْ كَمَا لَعَنَ بَنِي إِسْرَائِيلَ»

“You shall enjoin good and forbid evil, and take on the hand of the oppressor, and instruct him on the framework of truth. Otherwise Allah will strike your hearts against each other and He will curse you like He cursed Bani Israel.” [Narrated by Abu Dawud and At-Tirmidhi]

Dear beloved eminent Ulema gathered in Jakarta in support of this the Deen and to uphold the word of Allah, I greet you with the greeting of Islam: Assalamu Alaikum wa Rahmatullahi Wabarakatahu!

It is my pleasure to talk to you, albeit via Skype, after Allah (swt) has blessed us to participate in the Khilafah Conference which you hosted in the last month of Rajab. I saw with my own eyes those dear brothers and sisters who worked day and night for the success of that conference, may Allah reward them with the best reward of this Ummah!

Dear Distinguished Ulema

O you whom the Messenger of Allah SAW entrusted with the Amanah of indicating knowledge to the people. He made you his heirs in their education of what they do not know from the provisions of their Deen, as stated in the Hadith narrated by at-Tirmidhi: **“...the Ulema are the heirs of the Prophets”**

The people without Ulema are ignorant, swayed by the Shayatin amongst mankind and Jinn, ambushing them from all sides and ravaging them with delusions and their whims from all directions.

Hence, the Ulema are from Allah's blessings upon the people of the earth, they are the lanterns in the darkness and the Imams of guidance. They are Allah's proof on His earth, through them false thoughts are annihilated and clouds of doubt are dissolved from the hearts and souls. The Messenger of Allah (saw) likened them with the stars in his saying: **«إن مثل العلماء في الأرض كمثل النجوم يهتدى بها في ظلمات البر والبحر. فإذا انطمست النجوم أو شكت «The Ulema on earth are like the stars which guide in the darkness of land and sea. If the stars are blurred, the loss of remission comes near.»** [Narrated by Ahmad]

In the history of Muslims, there are shining pages upon pages of the stances of splendor and heroism, taken up by the Muslim Ulema in the striving to enjoin good and

Role of the Ulema in the Establishment of the Khilafah State

forbid evil, and addressing the injustice of the ruler whenever it occurred during his ruling. They were always the leaders of the Ummah and its guides. The Ummah resorted to them in calamities and hardships to receive knowledge in their Deen from them, contacting them to seek their advice in their important matters, and to lift the injustice of the rulers from the Ummah. The Ulema remind the rulers and governors of their Lord.

At times, Ulema have been persecuted by the Sultans, and were thrown into prison sometimes, and harm inflicted to their bodies, their money and their dignity at other times. They would endure all of this in recognition of their responsibility before Allah Almighty, inspired by the words of the Prophet (saw):

«سيد الشهداء حمزة ورجل قام إلى إمام جائر فأمره ونهاه
«The master of Martyrs is Hamza and a man who stood in front of an oppressive ruler and commanded him and forbade him, then he was killed.» [Narrated by Al-Hakim]

Indeed, this was the stand of the Ulema in the past. Then came the first European colonization followed by the U.S. colonization. The Kuffar worked to bring about a group which claimed they were "Ulema". In fact they passed rulings according to the plans of the devilish colonialists, in awe of them, with fatwas permitting the falsehood forbidden by Allah, and denying the ordinances enjoined by the Creator. With their fatwas they walked twisted ways to confuse the people in their Deen, and issued fatwas that agreed with the whims of the colonialists of subjecting the Ummah to their governance and ruling it by their pretentious, corrupt, invalid laws.

I will mention a few incidents:

1. One of them is the fatwa of Muhammad Abduh, supporter of English colonialism in Egypt, known as the Indian Fatwa. When asked whether it is lawful for a Muslim employed with the British to rule by the English laws that are void from what Allah has revealed, after a long answer filled with deviant citations, he concluded as a result:

"The acceptance of a Muslim to work in the English government in India, and his ruling with their laws is permitted through the section of the principle of committing the lesser of two evils". He did not stop here but continued to say: "If it were not intended to resolve the support for Islam and keeping the interest of Muslims". Subhanallah, how did the disobedience to Allah and obedience to the Kaffir occupiers become permissible?!

2. The fatwas of Ibn Baaz, and his likes of the so-called Body of Senior Ulema of the Saudi government, that permitted the seeking of support from Kufr American troops to fight the Iraqi army and expel it from Kuwait in the Gulf War (1990-1991), with all the contradiction of this fatwa with the true saying of Allah (swt):

ولن يجعل الله للكافرين على المؤمنين سبيلا

“and never will Allah give the disbelievers over the believers a way [to overcome them].” [An-Nisa, 4:141]

And for the saying of the Prophet (saw):
«لا تستضيئوا بنار المشركين»
“Do not light your way with the fire of the Mushrikeen.”

This even comes in contradiction of the Madhab of Muhammad Bin Abdul Wahhab, who considered the manifestation of the Mushrikeen and helping them against the Muslims of the things that contradict the Deen, supported with His (swt) saying:

وَمَنْ يَتَّوَلَّهُمْ مَنَّكَ فَإِنَّهُ مِنْهُمْ إِنَّ اللَّهَ لَا يَهْدِي الْقَوْمَ الظَّالِمِينَ

“And whoever is an ally to them among you - then indeed, he is [one] of them. Indeed, Allah guides not the wrongdoing people.” [Al-Ma’ida, 5:51]

Nevertheless Ibn Baaz and his likes deafened their ears to all this evidence and released the fatwa formulated in the Black House and concocted in Washington. These Ulema have loaded themselves with the sin of the Muslim blood spilled in Iraq...

3. Finally, and these examples are not exhaustive, the standing of Sheikh al-Azhar Ahmed al-Tayeb along with General al-Sisi in his coup against the rule of Muhammad Morsi, although the latter did not apply Islam,

Role of the Ulema in the Establishment of the Khilafah State

but was loyal to the Kufr principles of "democratic legitimacy".

However Sheikh Al-Azhar could not bear a forged democracy led by a bearded President, rather he preferred loyalty to Washington's politicians by legislating and justifying the overthrow of Muhammad Morsi.

Dear Eminent Ulema

After the Kaffir Western states demolished the Khilafah State in 1924 CE/1342 AH, they imposed fragmentation upon the Islamic Ummah, and installed agent rulers who toiled for the application of the provisions of Kufr and ruling the people through oppression and tyranny. So Mustafa Kemal and his fellow rulers took up crusades of campaigns to impose Westernization on Muslims to make them swallow the poison of Kaffir Western civilization, using intimidation and incentives. Whoever accommodated them in their policies was glutted with vanishing worldly joys from positions and profits. Whoever resisted them and insisted to stay on the truth and refute the vice faced abuse in the form of imprisonment and exile, murder and persecution including his family and his supporters and aides from the Muslims. For example, and this is by far not exhaustive, the group of martyrs from the Shabab of Hizb ut Tahrir, who met their Lord while He was satisfied with them – insha Allah – in Russia, Iraq, Tajikistan, and Uzbekistan...

Here is the entire world, witnessing the continuing bloodbath in Syria ash-Sham which Allah has blessed in His Book, and which was described by the Messenger of Allah (saw) as: «عَفْرَ دَارِ الْإِسْلَامِ» **“The best of the abode of Islam.”**

The people of falsehood from the Kufr countries, and the ones executing their plans from the Muslim rulers in Iran, the Gulf, Turkey and Jordan, make our people in ash-Sham choose: either murder through chemical weapons or murder through explosives, until they agree to the poison of Kufr secularism.

The talk of such abuses extends to volumes...

I suffice to salute your blessed gathering, and may Allah SWT make you successful in what He has entrusted you from the Amanah of knowledge, so that you teach people the obligations of their Deen. The first work on this path is the establishment of the Khilafah State, in which they will find their honor and dignity and the pleasure of their Lord.

The observer of what is happening from debates and discussions in the Muslim lands east and west, is aware of the dire need for you to destroy the ideas of Kufr and emphasize the Islamic ideas and lead the people in opposing the plots of the Kaffir states, and uncover the reality of the agents from politicians and intellectuals who mislead Muslims and promote the plots of the enemies. We ask you to put your hands in our hands to call the Ummah to the following:

1. Carry the Da'wah to resume the Islamic way of life through the establishment of the Khilafah state which is the principle leadership of the Muslims. It is neither a regional country nor a nationalistic state, rather it is based on the Islamic Aqeedah and the full application of the provisions of Islam domestically, and carrying the call of Islam to the world to remove it from darkness to the light.

2. Leading the Ummah to take the ruling through intellectual and political struggle, following the method of the Prophet (saw).

3. Not to appease the existing regimes in any form, exposing their plots and revealing their hypocritical policies, as well as exposing misleading figures.

4. Our slogan during all of this should be the saying of the Prophet (saw): «والله لو وضعوا الشمس في يميني والقمر في يساري ما تركت هذا الأمر حتى يظهره الله أو أهلك دونه» **“By Allah, if they placed the sun in my right and the moon in my left, I would not leave this matter until Allah manifests it or I perish without it.”**

We do not doubt for a single moment that Allah (swt) will fulfill His promise to us:

وَعَدَ اللَّهُ الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا مِنْكُمْ وَعَمِلُوا الصَّالِحَاتِ لَيَسْتَخْلِفَنَّهُمْ فِي الْأَرْضِ كَمَا اسْتَخْلَفَ الَّذِينَ مِنْ قَبْلِهِمْ وَلَيُمَكِّنَنَّ لَهُمْ دِينَهُمُ الَّذِي ارْتَضَى لَهُمْ وَلَيُبَدِّلَنَّهُمْ مِنْ بَعْدِ خَوْفِهِمْ أَمْنًا يَعْبُدُونَنِي لَا يُشْرِكُونَ بِي شَيْئًا وَمَنْ كَفَرَ بَعْدَ ذَلِكَ فَأُولَئِكَ هُمُ الْفَاسِقُونَ □

“Allah has promised those who have believed among you and done righteous deeds that He will surely grant them succession [to authority] upon the earth just as He granted it to those before them and that He will surely establish for them [therein] their religion which He has preferred for them and that He will surely substitute for them, after their fear, security, [for] they worship Me, not associating anything with Me. But whoever disbelieves after that - then those are the defiantly disobedient.” [An-Nur, 24:55]

We ask the Almighty to make us victorious with His Deen and that He gives victory to His Deen through us, sooner rather than later. He is the Master of that and Able to do so. Wassalaamu Alaikum wa Rahmatullahi wa Barakatahu.

Your Brother

Osman Bakhach

**Director of the Central Media Office of
Hizb ut Tahrir**

Continued from Page 7

hard for the revival of the Islamic Ummah, through the return of the Khilafah State.

The army must be provided with military education of the highest level, so as to raise the level of thinking as much as possible. In addition, it is a must to educate every person in the military with Islamic culture that enables him to understand Islam, so that the soldier is aware of his real enemy, and fights to defeat them, acknowledging his Shariah responsibility, acting as RasulAllah SAW said, اللَّهُ، كُلُّ رَجُلٍ مِنَ الْمُسْلِمِينَ عَلَى شَعْرَةٍ مِنْ شَعْرِ الْإِسْلَامِ، اللَّهُ، "Every man from the Muslims is on a post of the posts of Islam, he has to protect Islam from his post and not allow defeat from his post."

Now, after all of this is there any hope from these armies?

The answer is definitely yes. This is even though the armies of the Muslims in general have failed the Ummah due to the above-mentioned causes, which has humiliated the Ummah before its enemies. However, there are many amongst the Muslim armies who are sincerely waiting for the right opportunity to provide the victory for Islam and Muslims. They know that their soul and rizq is in the hands of Allah (SWT) alone. The revival of the Ummah depends on such men, who are the true descendants of Khalid bin Waleed RA who said, whilst he was on his death bed, شهدتُ مائة زحف أو زهاءها، وما في جسدي موضع شبر إلا وفيه ضربة أو طعنة أو رمية، ثم هانذا أموت على فراشي I've fought in so many battles seeking martyrdom that there is no spot in my body left without a scar or a wound made by a spear or sword. And yet here I am, dying on my bed like an old camel. **May the eyes of the cowards never rest.** Yes, he RA was a soldier that deserved to be called the Sword of Allah, the sword that was raised in the face of the kuffar and the enemies of Islam and Muslims.

We can say that most elements of the armies in Muslim countries carry out the orders with their hearts gripped by pain. They are indeed part of the Ummah. Once they have the right opportunity to free themselves from the agent leaders they will rush to do so.

Hope in the armies is great. The Ummah will not lose hope in the sincere from amongst them. Very soon the Ummah and the armies will be free from the kuffar West and the Muslims will be pleased by the sincere ones Insha'Allah. RasulAllah (saw) said, **وَاعْلَمُوا أَنَّ الْجَنَّةَ تَحْتَ ظِلِّ السُّيُوفِ "Know that Paradise is under the shades of swords."**

The Myth of a US Attack on Pakistan

By Khalid Salahudeen

By Khalid Saluhideen, Pakistan

Pakistan's current political and military leadership has highlighted its inability to prevent itself being used as part of US geo-strategic policy in the region. They present many reasons to justify their spineless position. The reality is that this leadership has no political vision to protect the nation from the hegemonic designs of the US. Hence their final fall-back position is always that we are not able to say no to the US because they will "bomb us back to the Stone Age." The context of the discussion is based on political evidences. By this we mean that the political and military actions of the US in the world are indicative of the restrictions in its ability to undertake unilateral or even multilateral actions.

This point can be illustrated by a simple example. After the Salala incident, when the NATO lines were closed due to the intense anger within the armed forces and the public against General Kayani and his weak stance, the US did not consider threatening Pakistan with sanctions or military action. Instead, America entered into negotiations to find alternatives via the northern route. Not only was the northern route more expensive for the US, but more fundamentally, it placed the US in a weak position to negotiate military supply lines with its regional competitor, Russia. The fact that the US did not consider the military option against Pakistan indicates that this is really not an option for the US, even though it placed the US in an awkward position. Hence, in the ensuing discussion, the evidences quoted from past events are fundamental to understanding the subject matter. The purposes of the evidences are to establish that the US is not in a position to undertake a unilateral attack on Pakistan.

Pakistan as a state boasts the following strengths, collectively a firm foundation for becoming a world power:

- Population of about 180 million Muslims
- One of the most professional armies in the world, with over 700,000 regular and 500,000 in reserve.
- Well equipped with conventional weapons
- Well established nuclear power, with potentially over 100 nuclear war heads, with nuclear tipped air-to-surface, surface-to-air ballistic weapons, spanning a range of over 2000 miles.
- Geographically situated with the critical global lines of communication and shipping lanes in the Arabian Sea to its south, Iran and Afghanistan on its western border, India to its eastern border and China on the northern border.

The above data is important as this is the state that the US has to counter when it considers military options against Pakistan.

US inability to secure regional support for an attack on Pakistan

The US war strategy can be understood practically from the two wars it conducted, the war in Afghanistan and the war in Iraq. In both instances, the US strategy was built on the ability to project its power through bordering countries. These countries can be termed as political assets in the region. These political assets provide, firstly, the US with the essential facilities, so that the US can stage their military hardware and troops as well project its power. Secondly, they help the US control the fall out of the war.

Khalid Salahudeen: The Myth of a US Attack on Pakistan



The map above shows the neighbours surrounding Afghanistan. It is clear that the US needed the support of one or more countries to stage its military hardware and troops for projection into Afghanistan. Without this, the US would not have been able to conduct the war. In the case of Afghanistan, the US also needed the intelligence support of Pakistan in order to attack the command and control centres of the Taliban.

The second element is preventing the active support of the neighbours against the US. Hence, the major concern of the US was preventing Iran, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Tajikistan and Pakistan from working against the US war effort.

The US only proceeded to execute the war after Pakistan's General Pervez Musharraf capitulated to all of the US demands, something that not even the US expected, as quoted by Colin Powell in the book "Bush at War." Hence, the option of war was possible only after these political assets were in place.

Post-invasion, the US has had great difficulty in stabilising Afghanistan, despite the presence of over 100,000 troops. The problem lies in the fact that the US could not engage in nation building because of the hatred for it amongst the people. This means that the US has not used its troops to undertake civilian governing activities. Rather it has depended on using a front for its control, the existing pro US factions, like the Northern Alliance, to

form the government and control Afghanistan. The US has failed in not only stabilising Afghanistan, but even in preventing attacks on its stronghold in Kabul. Currently it is looking to bring the very Taliban that it deposed from power, back into power.

If we add to this the potential involvement of the surrounding countries in terms of fuelling the insurgency, how will the US be able to cope? Hence, the success of the strategy is fundamentally dependent on the cooperation of the political assets, and local pro-US factions that will support the US effort internally.

Similarly in the war against Iraq, the US proceeded to work to secure their strategy through their political assets.



The map above shows the countries surrounding Iraq. As with Afghanistan, the US needed a country from which to stage their attacks. The US used the facilities of the Kurdish Peshmerga from the North and Kuwait from the South to execute their ground invasion. This was preceded by air raids using their facilities in Kuwait and CENTCOM, Qatar. Hence, the complete execution of the war was based on the facilitation by the political assets.

As with Afghanistan, the US had to ensure that there was no active support of the neighbours against the US war effort. Post invasion, the situation has been a mess. As with Afghanistan, the US has consciously not engaged in the activity of nation building. In

Khalid Salahudeen: The Myth of a US Attack on Pakistan

addition, it has actively incited sectarian strife, so the US has directed the focus of the insurgency away from itself. Despite this, the US troops are not safe, except in the green zone.

Applying this to Pakistan, a US military attack is not feasible because of an insurmountable combination of factors. From the preceding discussion, the first problem the US will face is in which neighbouring countries to stage their troops and military hardware.

The US already has military hardware and troops in both Afghanistan and Pakistan. The US has not been able to protect its own troops in Kabul, so it will be in no position to even contemplate a full scale aerial or ground attack on Pakistan. Similarly, whatever troops and military hardware the US has in Pakistan, they are surrounded by a large civilian population. The utility of these assets is questionable in the event of a full blown attack on Pakistan.

Considering Iran, the US was unable to stage military hardware and troops there during the attack on Afghanistan and Iraq. With the current stand-off over Iran's nuclear weapons program, it is unlikely that the US will be able to do it now.

The current tensions and strategic conflict in the relationship between the US and China would make it very difficult for the US to convince China to support it in an attack on Pakistan. In addition, Beijing warned the US after the Abbottabad operation, in which Osama bin Laden was supposedly killed, stating, "Any attack on Pakistan would be construed as an attack on China."

The only option available for the US would be to consider India. But even this option is not feasible. In all, India has an estimated thirty armed insurgency movements sweeping across the country, reflecting an acute sense of alienation on the part of the people involved. Broadly, these can be divided into movements

for political rights (e.g. Assam, Kashmir and Khalistan [Punjab]), movements for social and economic justice (e.g. Maoist [Naxalite] and north-eastern states), and religious grounds (e.g. Laddakh). These causes overlap at times. There are sixteen belligerent groups and sixty eight major organizations as terrorist groups in India, which include: nine in the north-east (Seven Sisters), four in the centre & the east (including Maoist/Naxalites), seventeen in the west (Sikh separatist groups), and thirty-eight in the north-west (Kashmir). Under these conditions, can India contemplate staging US troops and engage in a potentially destabilising regional war, without implicitly fuelling these separatist movements? Therefore, the most fundamental issue here is whether India is prepared to fight America's war, and at what cost, bearing in mind it has all these other issues to address.

US inability to handle the aftermath of an attack on Pakistan

Hence, the traditional war strategy of the US to use neighbouring countries is not possible for the US, as they are not viable political assets for the US. The difficulty of an attack is illustrated further if one is to consider the scenario where the US is able to attack either using India or some other means, using conventional weapons against Pakistan. This is critical as an attack is deemed infeasible if the consequences of the attack cannot be dealt with. The table below shows a comparison of the three countries, and their important statistics.

Country	Afghanistan	Iraq	Pakistan
Population	27 million	24 million	180 million
Regular Army	200,000	350,000	500,00
Reserve Army	None	None	500,00
Conventional Weapons	Yes	Yes	Yes

Khalid Salahudeen: The Myth of a US Attack on Pakistan

Nuclear Weapons	No	No	Yes
Access to Global Lines of Communication	No	No	Yes
Access to Global Shipping Lanes	No	Yes	Yes

The reality of Afghanistan and Iraq is that even after waging war on these nations, the US has been neither able to stabilise the countries, nor suppress the insurgency waged against their occupation. The population figures above show that Pakistan is at least six times more numerous than the other two.

So how will the US be able to stabilise Pakistan when it has not succeeded in its previous two “successful” wars? These are wars that have shattered the reputation of its forces and played a role in crippling an economy. Indeed, today America is horrified even at the thought of launching an attack on Syria. Assuming that the US “succeeds” in Pakistan at the same level that it has “succeeded” in Afghanistan and/or Iraq, is it prepared to consider the fallout of the regional instability?

During the Second World War, any occupying country would have to administer the vanquished nation to ensure provision of basic supplies, by stationing soldiers. The Japanese would work on a principle of 1 soldier to between 20-30 civilians. Karachi alone would demand a US troop presence of around 750,000 troops. Yet, the US strategy is to not engage in nation building using soldiers to perform civilian administrative activities. The reality is that it is well known that the US army has been bred to fight but not occupy. Given their failure in Afghanistan and Iraq, and based on the above statistics, how would the US manage?

If India supports the US, is India prepared to bear the brunt of the fallout, especially when the US homeland is over 8000 miles away?

The US problem of not having political assets in its favor can be illustrated by the US war strategy applied on Pakistan. Given that the US uses large scale aerial bombing without ground troops initially, what is to stop Pakistan expanding the war theatre to include Afghanistan? Bearing in mind the current reality where the US has not been able to stabilise Afghanistan using Pakistan’s help, so how will it manage when Pakistan is not supporting it? The purpose of the political assets (neighbouring countries) is to prevent expansion of the war theatre. When the political assets are not in your control, it questions fundamentally the ability of the US to initiate the military action, when it cannot control the expansion of the war theatre.

This discussion is based on not even considering the fact that Pakistan has nuclear weapons. The presence of nuclear weapons acts as a fundamental deterrent given the will to protect the homeland.

North Korea is a belligerent state, yet the US has always looked for a diplomatic solution, even when North Korea has performed aggressive ballistic missile tests. Whilst the US could annihilate North Korea in terms of the superiority of the arsenal that it has, can the US risk the North Koreans firing one nuclear tipped ballistic missile? The point here is once the ballistic missile is armed and fired, how will the US protect the target? And how will the rest of the world look at the US warmongering leading to nuclear war? And how will the US contain the political fallout due to the nuclear fallout?

Consider also access to global shipping and communication lines. The US can park its aircraft carriers in the Arabian Sea, and consider firing missiles into the Pakistani mainland. But the region is home to one of the busiest shipping lines as well as communication lines. How will the world look at the disruption of these shipping lines subsequent to a Pakistani retaliation?

The above discussion falls within the remit of asymmetric war – the process of engaging the US using paradigms that invert their strength. The concept of asymmetric warfare was first introduced by Khalid ibn Walid, with the Vietnam war and the recent Afghan Taliban resistance examples of asymmetric warfare in which the US war machine is defeated by simply drawing them into guerrilla warfare that would make the US technological superiority redundant.

A very lucid practical example is when during the summer of 2002, in the run-up to President Bush's invasion of Iraq, the US military staged the most elaborate and expensive war games ever conceived. Operation Millennium Challenge, as it was called, cost some \$250 million, and required two years of planning. The mock war was not aimed at Iraq, at least, not overtly. But it was set in the Persian Gulf, and simulated a conflict with a hypothetical rogue state. The "war" involved heavy use of computers, and was also played out in the field by 13,500 US troops, at 17 different locations and 9 live-force training sites. All of the services participated under a single joint command, known as JOINTFOR. The US forces were designated as "Force Blue," and the enemy as OPFOR, or "Force Red." The "war" lasted three weeks and ended with the overthrow of the dictatorial regime on August 15.

At any rate, that was the official outcome. What actually happened was quite different, and ought to serve up a warning about the grave peril the world will face if the US should become embroiled in a widening conflict in the region.

As the war games were about to commence on July 18 2002, Gen. William "Buck" Kernan, head of the Joint Forces Command, told the press that the operation would test a series of new war-fighting concepts recently developed by the Pentagon, concepts like "rapid decisive operations, effects-based operations, operational net assessments," and the like. Later, at the conclusion of the games, Gen. Kernan insisted that the new concepts had been proved effective. At which point, JOINTFOR drafted recommendations to Gen. Richard Myers, the chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, based on the experiment's satisfactory results in such areas as doctrine, training and procurement.

But not everyone shared Gen. Kernan's rosy assessment. It was sharply criticized by the straight-talking Marine commander who had been brought out of retirement to lead Force Red. His name was Lt. Gen. Paul Van Riper, and he had played the role of the crazed but cunning leader of the hypothetical rogue state. Gen. Van Riper dismissed the new military concepts as empty sloganeering, and he had reason to be sceptical. In the first days of the "war," Van Riper's Force Red sent most of the US fleet to the bottom of the Persian Gulf. Not all of the details about how Force Red accomplished this have been revealed. The Pentagon managed to keep much of the story out of the press. But a thoroughly disgruntled Van Riper himself leaked enough to the Army Times that it's possible to get at a sense of how a much weaker force outfoxed and defeated the world's lone remaining Superpower.

The war game was described as "free play," meaning that both sides were unconstrained, free to pursue any tactic in the book of war in the service of victory. As Gen. Kernan put it,

"The OPFOR (Force Red) has the ability to win here." Much of the action was computer-generated. But representative military units in the field also acted out the various moves and countermoves. The comparison to a chess match is not inaccurate. The vastly superior US armada consisted of the standard carrier battle group with its full supporting cast of ships and planes. Van Riper had at his disposal a much weaker flotilla of smaller vessels, many of them civilian craft, and numerous assets typical of a Third World country.

But Van Riper made the most of weakness. Instead of trying to compete directly with Force Blue, he utilized ingenious low-tech alternatives. Crucially, he prevented the stronger US force from eavesdropping on his communications by foregoing the use of radio transmissions. Van Riper relied on couriers instead to stay in touch with his field officers. He also employed novel tactics such as coded signals broadcast from the minarets of mosques during the Muslim call to prayer, a tactic weirdly reminiscent of Paul Revere and the "Shot Heard Round the World." At every turn, the wily Van Riper did the unexpected. And in the process he managed to achieve an asymmetric advantage: the new buzzword in military parlance.

Astutely and very covertly, Van Riper armed his civilian marine craft and deployed them near the US fleet, which never expected an attack from small pleasure boats. Faced with a blunt US ultimatum to surrender, Force Red suddenly went on the offensive: and achieved complete tactical surprise. Force Red's prop-driven aircraft suddenly were swarming around the US warships, making Kamikaze dives. Some of the pleasure boats made suicide attacks. Others fired Silkworm cruise

missiles from close range, and sunk a carrier, the largest ship in the US fleet, along with two helicopter-carriers loaded with marines. The sudden strike was reminiscent of the Al Qaeda sneak attack on the USS Cole in 2000. Yet, the Navy was unprepared. When it was over, most of the US fleet had been destroyed. Sixteen US warships lay in the bottom of the sea, and the rest were in disarray. Thousands of American sailors were dead, dying, or wounded.

If the games had been real, it would have been the worst US naval defeat since Pearl Harbor.

What happened next became controversial. Instead of declaring Force Red the victor, JOINTFOR Command raised the sunken ships from the muck, brought the dead sailors back to life, and resumed the games as if nothing unusual had happened. The US invasion of the rogue state proceeded according to schedule. Force Red continued to harass Force Blue, until an increasingly frustrated Gen. Van Riper discovered that his orders to his troops were being countermanded, at which point he withdrew in disgust. In his after-action report, the general charged that the games had been scripted to produce the desired outcome.

Conclusion

The reality is that the US can use coercive diplomacy techniques against Pakistan, but it is unable to consider all out war against Pakistan, simply because it will be unable to execute the war, let alone control the fallout of the war. The reason why the political and military leadership has not been able to come to this conclusion is that they do not take responsibility to protect the Ummah. This practically will only ever be realised under a righteous Imam, ruling by Islam, as the head of the Khilafah State.

Pakistan Must Sever Ties with America for Future Economic Sustainability

Abid Mustafa

Lately, several notable Pakistani public figures have expressed their increased optimism about the Pakistani economy and its future. On November 10, 2013 Federal Minister for Finance, Muhammad Ishaq Dar expressed his desire to see Pakistan become the 11th biggest economy in the world. According to the World Bank statistics in 2012, Pakistan presently holds 43rd position with a GDP of \$231 billion, whilst Canada is in the 11th spot with a GDP of \$1.8 trillion.

A few days later, Dar's confidence was also echoed by Federal Minister for Planning, Development, and Reform, Ahsan Iqbal. On November 12, 2013 at a lecture at Harvard University, in the US, Iqbal said, "The Pakistan 2025 Programme will transform the country into a strong economy of upper middle income countries in ranking." He added, "... by making Pakistan a hub of regional integration through regional connectivity projects, we can create three billion new markets in Asia comprising of South Asia, China, and Central Asia with great opportunities for global economy." It appears that both Dar and Iqbal are basing their economic predictions on a paper entitled: 'Pakistan in the 21st Century: Vision 2030', which has been prepared by Pakistan's planning commission. The paper summarizes the economic vision as: "Growing economically at a rate of around 7-8 per cent per annum, Pakistan expects to join the ranks of middle-income countries, with a GDP of around \$4,000 billion by 2030. This high growth rate would be sustained through developing its human resources, and by developing the necessary physical and technological infrastructure."

In a separate but related development, the Pakistani government inaugurated the meeting

of a working group on Integrated Energy for Pakistan Vision 2025. On November 14, 2013 the group met under the chairmanship of the Secretary Planning, Development & Reforms, Hassan Nawaz Tarar, and consisted of many leading scholars, experts and scientists. The immediate aim of the working group is to address the crippling energy problems plaguing Pakistan. Farkhand Iqbal, Senior Chief Energy said in his opening remarks that the country has been suffering from serious problems, as our industries are being closed, exports declined, per capita income decreased. In short, he said that "the energy sector of economy is badly suffering due to energy shortages in our country. He also emphasized that the 11th Five Year Plan (2013-18) and Vision 2025 would not be a plan like the plans of the past, but they should be realistic, doable and a must, to achieve the desired growth rate for the next decade and to make Pakistan an industrialized knowledge-based economy."

Like all previous Pakistani governments, the present government is no different in trying to address Pakistan's ailing economy and severe energy shortages. No government has succeeded to date, and there is very little evidence to suggest that the current government of Nawaz Sharif will do any better. Without even scrutinising the contents of the vision, it is evident that there is problem in terms of which vision the government is following i.e. the 2025 vision espoused by Farkhand Iqbal, Dar and Ahsan or the 2030 Vision advocated by the planning commission. Now one may give the government the benefit of doubt for getting mixed up, but the lack of alignment between ministries and poor communication by ministers amounts to a poor start, considering the gravity of the situation, and does not bode well for the future.

Abid Mustafa: Pakistan Must Sever Ties With America

Apart from the vision, the government has also miserably failed to articulate a clear and cogent strategy that will help them deliver the vision for 2030. For instance, what is the government's strategy that helps them achieve the vision statement: 'Pakistan expects to join the ranks of middle-income countries, with a GDP of around \$4,000 billion by 2030'? The vision 2030 document distributed by the planning commission and public statements by politicians are not only woefully inadequate and but are quite frankly a regurgitation of the same old wine manufactured by Western economic consultants and institutions like the IMF, and then sold to the Pakistan public. For instance, on October 4, 2013 "The Nation" newspaper reported that the government has decided to privatise 31 public sector entities (PSEs) during the current financial year 2013-14 and this mainly includes banking, petroleum and energy sector companies. In return, Pakistan received a \$6.7 billion loan programme under IMF's extended funds facility (EFF).

The strategy of parting with Pakistan's treasured silverware to boost economic growth is a recipe for economic chaos and enslavement to Western institutions. One only has to look at the privatisation under Musharraf and Zardari to see that the real benefactors are foreigners, who are free to set prices as they will, pay minimal or no tax at all, and repatriate profits to their own countries to strengthen their economies at the expense of Pakistan. This is in addition to the massive corruption that ensues and ensnares Pakistani politicians. Some have estimated 1550 billion Rupees (US\$23.84 billion) worth of corruption during the privatisation process under eight years of Musharraf's rule alone ("Pakistan: \$23.8 billion corruption from privatization under Musharraf", Asia Pacific Action Online).

Hence it appears that the 11th Five-Year Plan is headed in the same direction as the previous fifty years of strategy planning— degrading Pakistan's economic sovereignty and depriving our children of a bright future.

Furthermore, such a strategy will not pay off Pakistan's \$58 billion in external debt or grow Pakistan's economy sufficiently by 2030 to meet the needs of some 230-260 million Pakistanis as forecasted by the planning commission.

Best practice dictates that any economic vision espoused for Pakistan and any economic strategy advocated must be cognizant of the root causes behind the current economic malaise affecting the country. Any solution that does not treat the root causes will only treat the symptoms and will secure economic self-sufficiency.

The three principal causes behind Pakistan's economic troubles is America, her colonial institutions like the IMF and WBO, and rampant corruption. Let's examine these, one by one.

Ever since, America's active involvement in Eurasia, in particular during the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, Pakistan's economy has undergone sustained deterioration. This is a widely acknowledged fact but it does not feature in official proclamations or economic reports, as traitors in Pakistan's military and political leadership want to continue with their suicidal policy of working with America. No matter how hard Pakistani officials try to conceal this fact they cannot hide the truth, and occasionally reveal information that is locked in the deepest depth of their hearts. Just recently, Minister for States and Frontier Regions, Abdul Qadir Baloch, said that over a 30 year period, Pakistan has spent approximately \$200 billion in looking after Afghan refugees. The Afghan refugee burden is clearly a result of US policy to exploit Pakistan as the epicentre of America's war against its arch cold war enemy the Soviet Union.

Despite Pakistan's best efforts to care for their Afghan brethren as mandated by Islam, Pakistan has received peanuts from America in assistance over the past three decades. Even the latest drive by the so called international community has yielded miniscule amounts.

Abid Mustafa: Pakistan Must Sever Ties With America

International donors have pledged \$600 million for the Afghan Refugees Affected and Hosting Areas Programme, but only \$15 million has been delivered. So once again, Pakistan will continue to shoulder the burden of our Afghan brethren, even though the country under capitalism cannot meet the needs of its own citizens.

In the beginning of the 21st century America launched a new war against Islam disguised as the war on terror. The central front of this war was Pakistan and its impact on the country was catastrophic, especially on Pakistan's economy. On October 23, 2013, Geo TV revealed that the war on terror had cost Pakistan a whopping \$100 billion. In return, Pakistan received over \$25 billion during the period 2002-2012 consisting of \$17 billion in military aid and \$8 billion in economic assistance. This aid pales into insignificance when measured over a 66 year period. From 1948 to 2012, Pakistan has received a total of \$68 billion in aid. Of which, the economic aid is \$42 billion and the remainder \$26 billion is military assistance. In practice, however, this aid has been hampered by American economic sanctions, which were first imposed in 1979. Peterson Institute for International economics calculates that from 1979 to 1998 the impact of the sanctions was equivalent to a \$1 billion—a relatively small sum compared to the direct fallout that Pakistan has suffered, when its foreign policy is aligned to America's national interests.

The other factor which has wreaked havoc on Pakistan's economy is the menace of IMF and WB policies implemented under American auspices. The country has borrowed heavily from the IMF for 29 out of the 40 years between 1971 and 2010. Pakistan's external debt is currently thought to be around \$58 billion – about 24% of GDP and 200% of exports. The IMF and World Bank argue that debts for low-income countries usually become impossible to repay when they are between 30-50% of GDP or 100–200% of exports. Yet despite this, IMF continues

ignore its own warnings and is eager to lend billions of dollars to Pakistan.

In fact, the Pakistani population has become accustomed to the unleashing of economic devastation, whenever IMF and WB officials visit Pakistan. The common prescription advocated by the IMF is privatisation, increase in the prices of petrol, electricity and gas, devaluation of the rupee, increase in tax revenues through the imposition of sales and other tax, reduction in import duties and several other notorious measures that always seem to increase the dependency of Pakistan's economy on foreign creditors and piles up unwarranted debt. Simply put IMF policies have never worked to bridge Pakistan's fiscal gap or address the balance of payments. All IMF policies do is to ensure the country commits to the ever increasing interest burden. Over the last five years, Pakistan's foreign debt payments have averaged \$2.3 billion. That's the equivalent of 10% of exports, and 10% of government revenue, and is half the amount spent on health and education combined (“Unlocking the chains of debt A call for debt relief for Pakistan”, Islamic Relief and Jubilee Debt Campaign, July 2013).

Lastly, under American patronage corruption has flourished in Pakistan. It does not matter whether the government is civil or military, corruption is rife under governments that are directly supported by America. For instance, according to Transparency International, Pakistan lost an unbelievably high amount, more than Rs8.5 trillion (US \$94 billion), in corruption, tax evasion and bad governance during the last four years of Prime Minister Yousuf Raza Gillani's tenure.

In sum, since 1979, Pakistan's unstinting support for America has cost the Pakistani economy circa \$410 billion. (This is based on costs to host Afghan refugees (\$200b), external debt (\$60b), corruption during Musharraf and Gilani's tenure (\$120b) and war on terror (\$100b) costs minus the aid

(\$68b) received from America.) Even the die-hard neo-liberals and secular fundamentalists in Pakistan, will have to concede that America's relationship with Pakistan is the sole reason for the rapid erosion of the country's economic sovereignty.

For Pakistan's economy to have any chance of growth and self-sufficiency, economic policy makers must sever ties with the US, and devise a 2030 vision and associated strategies that does not feature America and the influence of its colonial tools. But this is only the first step, a more permanent solution necessitates the complete removal of democracy or dictatorship that always places man-made laws above the laws of Allah (SWT). Through these forms of ruling, America repeatedly passes legislation through her supporters in both the executive and the parliament to enact capitalist solutions that only serve to safeguard her interests. This is the real root cause of the misery suffered by the Pakistani people. Allah says: **وَمَنْ أَعْرَضَ عَن ذِكْرِي فَإِنَّ لَهُ مَعِيشَةً ضَنْكًا** "Whosoever turns away from My Reminder verily, for him is a life of hardship." [Surah Ta-Ha 20:124] It is only through the establishment of the Khilafah that sovereignty will return to Allah, and pro-American capitalist policies will be banished.

Continued from Page 5

emperors. He SAW expanded the Islamic state, as his mission was to carry Islam as a Mercy to all of Humankind, with the people entering Islam in droves. Allah SWT said,

**إِذَا جَاءَ نَصْرُ اللَّهِ وَالْفَتْحُ
وَرَأَيْتَ النَّاسَ يَدْخُلُونَ فِي دِينِ اللَّهِ أَفْوَاجًا**

"1. When there comes the help of Allah and the Conquest. 2. And you see that the people enter Allah's religion in crowds." [Surah An-Nasr 110: 1,2]

And RasulAllah SAW informed this Ummah of the fulfillment of his mission as a Mercy to all Humankind on the future. He conveyed the vision that Allah SWT had given him, that of an earth over whose entirety the mercy of Islam prevails. And thus after RasulAllah SAW returned to Allah SWT the march of Islam continued. Under the Khilafah Rashida, the Ummah ensured that Islam prevailed over the empires of Persia and then Rome. He SAW informed his Ummah SAW of the opening of Constantinople, which occurred in time of the Uthmani Khalifah, and the opening of Rome, that we will witness inshaaAllah after the return of the Islamic state. And inshaaAllah upon the return of the Khilafah, this Ummah will rise again to ensure that Islam prevails over all other ways of life until the mission of RasulAllah SAW is completed.

So, let Rabi ul-Awwal and the gifts it witnessed inspire us to raise the message of Islam, as a comfort for the oppressed and a stern warning to the oppressor rulers. Let the gratitude for its gifts grant us the patience and fortitude to be unwavering in our march for Islam, despite the oppression of the tyrant rulers. Let our love of RasulAllah SAW spur us to strip away the false borders of nationalism that have divided us into over fifty countries and unify us as one state under the flag of La Ilaha Ila Allah Muhammad ur-RasulAllah. Let the Hijrah of RasulAllah SAW that Rabi ul-Awwal witnessed motivate the men of war amongst us today, within our armed forces, to give the Material Support for the establishment of Islam as a state. And let Rabi ul-Awwal instill within us the urgency to complete the mission of RasulAllah SAW as a Mercy to all of Humankind. Let us strive for the return of the Ummah, as a witness over all of Humankind, implementing Islam as a state and carrying its guidance such that it prevails all other ways of life.

Imran Khan- A New Jockey for America's Horse, Democracy

Talha Zubayr

The desire for real change is stronger than ever before in Pakistan. The people call for the end of the crippling American influence and a return to Islam. More and more people realize that democracy itself is responsible for the American influence and is an obstacle to the return to Islam. A golden chance arises for politicians who would come forwards with a strong agenda grounded in Islam. However, politicians who adhere to democracy are never going to change the status quo. They may play to the desires of the people but they will never deliver what they promise through democracy. Thus, they offer nothing new or any real change to the corrupt regime. And post-elections, Imran Khan is proving that hope in his party is no hope at all.

As a democrat, seeking his way into the current set-up, Imran Khan, like others before him, depends on seasoned democrats who have secured American interests for years. This is why the party of Imran Khan has made room for Sardar Asif Ahmed Ali, Khursheed Mahmood Qasuri and Shah Mahmood Qasuri, all ex-foreign ministers who furthered American interests whilst they were in ruling. Such foreign ministers of Pakistan are appointed after close vetting by US officials and lawmakers and once a go ahead is given by the US State Department. They have been the trusted agents of America and are essential for future implementation of American plans. Khursheed Qasuri was Musharraf's foreign minister and was defender of the war of terror throughout his tenure. He was the architect of the Kashmir sell out plan in the name of "self-governance." He famously remarked that India and Pakistan have reached a settlement of Kashmir and that only four to five people are aware of the final shape of the plan. As a democrat he proved his loyalty to America and treachery against Muslims. And Shah

Mahmood Quraishi played a similar role. It is ironic that upon his appointment in the foreign ministry that Imran Khan's party itself raised objection. Shireen Mazari, a staunch nationalist, then in PTI, remarked that the "American dream team" has settled in the foreign ministry. And Sardar Assef has been no different. The inclusion of American agents in Imran Khan's party is no surprise, as anyone who seeks power in democracy must prove their capability to secure colonialist interests.

Increasing the chances of coming to power means that in addition to seasoned American men, there are other politicians who will follow these agents for cheap personal interest. Such vision-less "lota" politicians from the PPP, PML-N and Musharraf's former party, the PML-Q, have joined the party of Imran Khan. About thirty politicians from PML-Q including Awais Leghari, Jamal Leghari, Ghulam Sarwar Khan and Sikandar Hayyat Khan Bosan have joined the PTI. The English daily "The News" has produced a report stating, "Names of the establishment's Q-Leaguers who joined the PTI in last few months have already been published in national press, show that more than 90 percent of the new entrants in Lahore, Chakwal and all other major cities of Punjab are known Musharraf men." Former foreign minister, Shah Mehmood Qureshi, has been made Vice-Chairman of the PTI. The inclusion of such people is a clear indication that the economic and foreign policy will remain unchanged. The stage is set for America, her men and corrupt yes-men within the political and military leadership to work with Imran, ensuring their interests are preserved.

Of course, the people sense that something is wrong as they have been bitten many times before by democracy. Indeed, Imran Khan's inclusions were met with opposition even

Imran Khan- A New Jockey for America's Horse, Democracy

from his party workers. Imran Khan was forced to promise his party workers that tickets will not be awarded to these "corrupt" but "electable" politicians, in an effort to appease them. But these were merely words and the reality is that the party of Imran Khan is now a stable for America's horses. Then Imran was reduced to consoling the workers by asking them to ignore what has happened and look forward to the elections. But, once the Imran Khan party won in the province of Khyber Pakhtoonkhwa, the American jockeys were prominent.

So it is inevitable that Imran Khan will never be able to deliver upon his election promises. The US Ambassador described democracy as its horse and any one who sits atop of it is merely a jockey. Now that Imran Khan is in ruling in KPK it can be clearly seen. Take education as an example. Previously Imran Khan said that he will impose an education emergency allocating 4% GDP to education, once he formed the government. Now, after the formation of government in KPK, Imran Khan broke his promise and added the pension and salaries budget to the education budget, so that he can claim that the education budget has been raised manifold. However, in reality it was raised from 65 to 66 billion rupees only; so much for his tall claims on education. As for self reliance and economic independence from America, Imran Khan claimed to work for self-reliance of the government and always called against US loan and grants. However, currently KPK is largest recipient of the USAID (500 million dollar) and the KPK budget specifies a significant sum to be collected from foreign donors (35 billion rupees). As for breaking of promises regarding drone attacks and the NATO supply line, it is Imran Khan's provincial government who, instead of stopping the supply line, is providing security to the American and NATO containers which contain weapons, explosives, wine, pork, oil and other supplies for Western forces on Muslim Lands. Protesting is just cheap deception. Thus Imran Khan, like Zardari and Nawaz Sharif, is actually the "chowkidar" of the American war supply.

Security to the NATO supply is secured by KPK police, which is directly under the provincial government. Not a single step was taken to obstruct it. Contrast this to Imran Khan's pre-election stance. He held a protest "dharna" where he was bent upon stopping it, when he was not in power. Regarding drone attacks, Imran Khan now seeks refuge behind "It's a federal issue", when he raised no such excuse during elections as he competed for power in KPK.

As if this were all not enough to raise alarm bells in the minds of those who are setting themselves up for more disappointment through democracy, consider Imran's stance on India. Imran Khan fully endorses all American position on Kashmir. Imran Khan lend full support to "Aman ki Asha" (Hope for Peace) for the Akhand Bharat (Greater India) project in which the Hindus will secure dominance over Muslims. Imran Khan supports the "self governance" to carve up and sell off Kashmir. Imran Khan wants to sideline Kashmir, calling for "putting Kashmir on the back burner." Imran Khan condemns armed resistance to brutal Indian occupying forces as "terrorism." Compare this to his stance before snuggling up to America. In 9th June 2002, Imran Khan said, "Any deviation from the stated stance on the right of self-determination for the people of Kashmir would not guarantee peace in the region on a long-term basis." He also said, "if the freedom struggle by Tamil Tigers, the Palestinians and the Chechens could not be suppressed despite the use of military might, the indigenous freedom movement of Kashmiri people can also go on indefinitely in spite of Indian army's repressive actions and pressures applied by the international community."

As for Afghanistan, Imran Khan openly announced in his Lahore Jalsa (Rally) that he will support "US exit plan" from Afghanistan, a plan designed by Obama as a cover for retaining a permanent US presence in Pakistan and Afghanistan. Under this plan American will maintain 20,000 soldiers and 100,000 security contractors (another name for men-of-war) in nine bases in Afghanistan and around

Imran Khan- A New Jockey for America's Horse, Democracy

7,000 Raymond Davis network agents in the world's second largest American embassy in Islamabad. Interestingly, Imran Khan never objected to the "mini-Pentagon" US embassy in the heart of our capital. And that is why the then US ambassador Cameron Munter, in his interview to BBC on 10th July, 2012, disclosed; "I have met Imran Khan and Nawaz Sharif both, and both have assured me that they are pro-American."

As a democrat, Imran Khan has allowed himself to be used by America's small club of agents embedded within our political and military leadership. Till 2011, US was interested in an operation on both sides of the border (under the famous Obama "surge" plan). And then after the end of 2011, the US wanted to cut deals across the borders with "moderate" Taliban to secure a partial withdrawal, leaving behind a permanent US presence. And to create environment in the favor these talks, traitors in the military leadership, particularly General Kayani, and General Ahmed Shuja Pasha cut a deal with Imran Khan. Subsequently, Imran Khan met with the US Ambassador in General Pasha's presence and then visited the US State Department to agree on details. And then Imran Khan was launched to build him as America's new jockey. Media coverage was showered on PTI. Even the preparation of their gatherings was being covered 24/7 on private channels, what to talk about the gatherings.

In all, Imran Khan "change" agenda is similar to the "change" agenda advocated by Obama in the US, whereby, certain operational changes to achieve the very same goal were packaged as "change agenda". Imran Khan is no different. His "change" agenda is the same liberal market capitalist ideology as was successively followed by all the rulers of Pakistan. Fiat currency, interest based economy, privatization, imposing cruel taxes on all the population, western liberties, symbolic Islamic injunctions to appease the religious masses, subjugating international relation to imperialist UN and interest of the

superpower (as will be explained later in the article) and other capitalist colonial agenda.

Thus Imran Khan has squandered and wasted any chance of good standing for himself and good for this nation, just like Nawaz Sharif, Zardari, and Kayani. Now those who still have not woken up are defensive saying that Imran Khan "may have a problem" but "he is better than others." Its time to wake up!

Imran Khan will only be supported by America and its men as long as he fits the US plan. And he will be thrown off the horse of democracy when he sidesteps like Morsi in Egypt. Muslims must understand that Islam is the only salvation of the Muslims. Any partial solution of mixing Islam with other ideologies is bound to fail. Moreover, democracy is a system that binds even the sincere one to falsehood and corruption. It forces the sincere politician to compromise on principals and Islam. It forces compromises and does not allow enough power to an individual or party to make the changes that our deen mandates. The Islamic ruling system is Khilafah, a system designed by the supreme where Muslims gives bayaa' of obedience to one Ameer under the condition that we will obey you as long as you implement Islam over us. The elected men and women of the Council of the Ummah are a key check to ensuring this.

When we have an Islamic system that encompasses all the affairs of the life – Khilafah- why should we be fooled by those who cling to American and its idol democracy. Khilafah is the only system that will liberate the Ummah and not the western Kufir system of democracy. Only the Khilafah can secure the rights of education and security for its citizens, regardless of their race, gender or religion, as it did for centuries previously. Indeed the world's elite used to flock to the Khilafah for education and it was a sanctuary for the world's refugees. Only politicians who base their policies, agenda, constitution and ruling upon the firm foundation of Quran and Sunnah represent a hope for this Ummah.

Martyrdom in Syria

Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Syria

وَلَا تَهْنُوا وَلَا تَحْزَنُوا وَأَنْتُمْ الْأَعْلَوْنَ إِنْ كُنْتُمْ مُؤْمِنِينَ

“So do not weaken and do not grieve, and you will be superior if you are [true] believers” [Ali-Imran: 139]

(Translated)

May Allah SWT bless Brother Abdulkader Al Saleh, the leader of Liwa al-Tawhid (Tawhid Brigade) in Aleppo who was targeted by the Syrian pilots' airstrike a few days ago, in a meeting with the leaders of his brigade at the infantry school in Aleppo's countryside. He was targeted after he recorded for himself an honor, may Allah to accept it from him, when he renounced the coalition and Geneva 2 and he rejected the West and its henchmen and refused the influence of the rulers of disruption from Qatar, Saudi Arabia and others. We ask Allah the Almighty to elevate his status for this honor to the highest, He (Allah) is close, the Hearer of supplication... This tragedy must drive the sincere to close ranks and take caution and to seek achieving their needs in secrecy, especially as the eyes of America and its followers in Russia and Europe monitor those who do not bend to Geneva ... He (swt) says, addressing the believers:

وَلْيَأْخُذُوا حِذْرَهُمْ وَأَسْلِحَتَهُمْ وَدَّ الَّذِينَ كَفَرُوا لَوْ تَغْفُلُونَ عَنْ أَسْلِحَتِكُمْ وَأَمْنَتِكُمْ فَيَمِيلُونَ عَلَيْكُمْ مَيْلَةً وَاحِدَةً

“And let them pray with you, taking precaution and carrying their arms. Those who disbelieve wish that you would neglect your weapons and your baggage, so they could come down upon you in one [single] attack.” [Al Nisa: 102]

This revolution is strong and powerful, with the permission of Allah; for it derives its steadfastness and patience from its faith in Allah and its determination to seek victory from the Almighty alone. This is what the

international nations fear in this revolution and compels them to become more conspiring against it. For this reason, this revolution was more powerful than Bashar and his mercenaries and stronger than all the conspired Western countries as a whole. We take this opportunity to urge our brothers in the Brigade and other battalion commanders to follow the example of those who recorded their endowments with their Lord in this world and the Hereafter, and that they should not seek victory except from Allah alone, as good adherence to Islam and to investigate the right is the key to relief, which the path of suffering brings victory close ... The Almighty said:

وَلَا تَهْنُوا فِي ابْتِغَاءِ الْقَوْمِ إِنْ تَكُونُوا تَأْلَمُونَ فَإِنَّهُمْ يَأْلَمُونَ كَمَا تَأْلَمُونَ وَتَرْجُونَ مِنَ اللَّهِ مَا لَا يَرْجُونَ وَكَانَ اللَّهُ عَلِيمًا حَكِيمًا

إِنَّا أَنْزَلْنَا إِلَيْكَ الْكِتَابَ بِالْحَقِّ لِتَحْكُمَ بَيْنَ النَّاسِ بِمَا أَرَاكَ اللَّهُ وَلَا تَكُنْ لِلْخَائِنِينَ خَصِيمًا

“And do not weaken in pursuit of the enemy. If you should be suffering - so are they suffering as you are suffering, but you expect from Allah that which they expect not. And Allah is ever Knowing and Wise. Indeed, We have revealed to you, [O Muhammad], the Book in truth so you may judge between the people by that which Allah has shown you. And do not be for the deceitful an advocate.” [Al Nisa:104-105]

May Allah bestow His abundant mercy on brother leader Abdulkader Al Saleh and bring him the Supreme paradise with the Prophets, the steadfast affirmers of truth, and the martyrs and the righteous and we say naught except that which is pleasing to our Lord:

إِنَّا لِلَّهِ وَإِنَّا إِلَيْهِ رَاجِعُونَ

“Indeed we belong to Allah, and indeed to Him we will return.” [Al Baqara:156]

Russian Foreign Minister Attacks the Khilafah

**Hisham Al-Baba, Head of the Media Office
of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Syria**

(Translated)

قَدْ بَدَتِ الْبَغْضَاءُ مِنْ أَفْوَاهِهِمْ وَمَا تُخْفِي صُدُورُهُمْ أَكْبَرُ قَدْ بَيَّنَّا
لَكُمْ الْآيَاتِ إِنْ كُنْتُمْ تَعْقِلُونَ

**“Hatred has already appeared from their
mouths, and what their breasts conceal is
greater” [Al-Imran: 118]**

In his address in front of the General Assembly that is part of the United Nations, on Friday 27/9/2013, Lavrov gave a warning: “That the most powerful of the armed groups inside Syria are the Jihadist which include many extremists that came from all parts of the world, and the aims that they are pursuing have no connections to Democracy, and their basis is an extreme ideology, they aim to destroy the secular state and to establish a Khilafah Islamic State.”

Following his departure from the UN meetings regarding the destruction of the Syrian chemical weapons, he stated that, “His country does not exclude the participation of armed Syrian opposition in the Geneva 2 Conference as long as they do not think to establish a Khilafah.”

This Lavrov has exposed the truth about the conflict in Syria and in the region, that it is an ideological clash of civilization between the Deen of Islam; Deen of truth and the corrupt brutal Democracy and the atheist secularism. He also exposed his hidden intentions and of those the hateful West towards Islam, Khilafah, and Jihad. He exposed that his hatred to Islam is not less than that of Bashar's. He exposed that China, Russia, the West, and (Israel) are unified in targeting the Muslims with Bashar, who depend on their support and encouragement for

committing the horrific massacres against the Muslims; men, women, and children.

The unification of the Kufr against the civilized project of Islam represented by establishing the Khilafah reminds us of the of Allah's (swt) saying:

((سَيَهْزِمُ الْجَمْعُ وَيُوَلُّونَ الدُّبُرَ))

**“Their assembly will be defeated, and they
will turn their backs [in retreat].” [Al-Qamar:
45]**

Yes, it is true; since the Russians, the West and the Jews heard the chants for Khilafah in the land of Ash-Sham that is undergoing a revolution, they lost their minds! When they realized the seriousness of their demands for it and of the inevitability of its return, they were stricken by nightmares by night and misgivings by day. Nothing worried them except fighting it, secretly and openly. No matter how much they try to prevent it, their pursuits will be wasted by the permission of Allah:

وَمَكْرُ أَوْلَانِكَ هُوَ يَبُورُ

**“And the plotting of those will perish”
[Fatir: 10]**

No matter how much they try to extinguish the light of Allah SWT; Allah refuses but to let His light be completed even if the Kuffar detest it. Allah (swt) says,

يُرِيدُونَ أَنْ يُطْفِئُوا نُورَ اللَّهِ بِأَفْوَاهِهِمْ وَيَأْبَى اللَّهُ إِلَّا أَنْ يُتِمَّ نُورَهُ
وَلَوْ كَرِهَ الْكَافِرُونَ * هُوَ الَّذِي أَرْسَلَ رَسُولَهُ بِالْهُدَى وَدِينِ
الْحَقِّ لِيُظْهِرَهُ عَلَى الدِّينِ كُلِّهِ وَلَوْ كَرِهَ الْمُشْرِكُونَ

**“They want to extinguish the light of Allah
with their mouths, but Allah refuses except
to perfect His light, although the disbelievers
dislike it, it is He who has sent His
Messenger with guidance and the deen of**

Continued on Page 34

Q&A: The Stance of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Egypt

Question: For months now there has been continuous violence in Egypt, with killings, arrests, vandalism and destruction on the streets and squares. Normal life has been suspended, and people have been divided into two obvious groups. Mediators from the United States, Europe, and even Russia have appeared in this atmosphere and began to form contacts with Egypt, it is not farfetched that even China may intervene! Similarly, some local mediators have intervened, partisan and non-partisan from stakeholders on the inside to attempt mediation and reform ... but without reaching a result. It attracted our attention that Hizb ut-Tahrir, which is trusted and respected by everybody who knows it, did not intervene, neither for mediation nor for reform or reconciliation. Surely the Messenger's (saw) saying is not unknown to them:

«أَلَا أُخْبِرُكُمْ بِأَفْضَلِ مِنْ دَرَجَةِ الصِّيَامِ وَالصَّلَاةِ وَالصَّدَقَةِ؟»
قَالُوا: بَلَى، يَا رَسُولَ اللَّهِ قَالَ: «إِصْلَاحُ ذَاتِ الْبَيْنِ، وَفَسَادُ
ذَاتِ الْبَيْنِ الْخَالِقَةُ»

“**Shall I not inform you of the highest degree of fast, prayer and charity?**” They said: “Yes, oh Messenger of Allah.” He said: “**Reconciliation amongst the people, while corruption amongst the people cuts off the rope.**”

Is there a reason for the non-interference of the Hizb in mediation or reconciliation or reform? Wa Jazakum Allah Khair.

Answer:

First: First of all, we thank you for your trust in the Hizb and high expectations of it, and ask Allah to guide all of us to the wisest of matters, and Allah Almighty hears and responds.

Indeed, the Hadeeth of the Messenger of Allah (saw), narrated by Abu Dawood from Umm Darda' from Abu Darda' is not unknown to us:

«أَلَا أُخْبِرُكُمْ بِأَفْضَلِ مِنْ دَرَجَةِ الصِّيَامِ وَالصَّلَاةِ وَالصَّدَقَةِ؟»
قَالُوا: بَلَى، يَا رَسُولَ اللَّهِ قَالَ: «إِصْلَاحُ ذَاتِ الْبَيْنِ، وَفَسَادُ
ذَاتِ الْبَيْنِ الْخَالِقَةُ»

“**Shall I not inform you of the highest degree of fast, prayer and charity?**” They said: “Yes, oh Messenger of Allah.” He said: “**Reconciliation amongst the people, while corruption amongst the people cuts off the rope.**”

Nevertheless reconciliation and reform must take place to implement the Shariah, not to implement anything else. Reconciliation and reform do not hold any good if they turned the Haram into Halal, or the Halal into Haram. This is what we were commanded by the Messenger of Allah (saw) in his noble Ahadeeth, including:

Abu Dawood reported in his Sunan from Abu Hurayrah who said:

قَالَ رَسُولُ اللَّهِ صَلَّى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ: «الصُّلْحُ جَائِزٌ بَيْنَ
الْمُسْلِمِينَ» زَادَ أَحْمَدُ، «إِلَّا صُلْحًا أَحَلَ حَرَامًا، أَوْ حَرَّمَ
حَلَالًا» وَزَادَ سُلَيْمَانُ بْنُ دَاوُدَ، وَقَالَ رَسُولُ اللَّهِ صَلَّى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ
وَسَلَّمَ: «الْمُسْلِمُونَ عَلَى شُرُوطِهِمْ»

The Messenger of Allah (saw) said: “**Reconciliation amongst the Muslims is permissible.**” And Ahmad added: “**Except a reform that permits the Haram or prohibits the Halal.**” And Suleiman Bin Dawood added: “And the Messenger of Allah (saw) said: “**The Muslims are bound by their conditions.**”

At-Tirmidhi reported in his Sunan from Katheer Bin Abdullah Bin Amr Bin Auf Al-Mazni, from his father, from his grandfather, that the Messenger (saw) said:

Q&A: The Stance of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Egypt

«الصُّلْحُ جَائِزٌ بَيْنَ الْمُسْلِمِينَ، إِلَّا صُلْحًا حَرَّمَ حَلَالًا، أَوْ أَحَلَّ حَرَامًا، وَالْمُسْلِمُونَ عَلَى شُرُوطِهِمْ، إِلَّا شَرْطًا حَرَّمَ حَلَالًا، أَوْ أَحَلَّ حَرَامًا»

“Reconciliation amongst the Muslims is permissible, except a reconciliation that permits the Haram or prohibits the Halal. The Muslims are bound by their conditions, except a condition that prohibits a Halal or permits a Haram.” At-Tirmidhi said: “This is a Hadeeth Hasan Sahih.”

It was also reported by Ibn Hibban in his Saheeh from Abu Hurayrah with the wording:

قَالَ رَسُولُ اللَّهِ صَلَّى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ: «الصُّلْحُ جَائِزٌ بَيْنَ الْمُسْلِمِينَ إِلَّا صُلْحًا أَحَلَّ حَرَامًا أَوْ حَرَّمَ حَلَالًا»

The Messenger of Allah (saw) said: **“Reconciliation amongst the Muslims is permissible, except a reconciliation that permits the Haram or prohibits the Halal.”**

Second: We have reflected on the issue of the two groups, and what we found out was most astonishing.

We did not find them quarreling to govern the laws of Allah, as if one group was ruling by it and the other was not. Rather both groups did not rule by the Shariah, whether the former regime or the latter!

We did not find them quarreling over the provisions of a Constitution consisting of the Shar'i provisions, rather it is a constitution of man-made law that was invalid under the previous government, and is even more invalid under the current government!

Similarly they do not differ in their stances toward the Jewish entity and the Camp David Accord, which was enforced in the previous government, and is still in effect under the current government. Nor do they quarrel in their opinions about the flow of gas to the Jewish entity, which is flowing to them today just as it did before.

Also they do not differ over the action to take in the Suez Canal towards the passage of ships from the states with whom there is an

actual state of warfare, rather these ships pass by it today as they passed by before.

They do not quarrel over the public ownership of oil, gas and metals... which are the right of the Muslims and should be distributed among them ...rather they are swelling the pockets of the sharks in the land of Egypt today, just as they did before today.

Finally they do not quarrel over the relations with America, rather they continue without interruption, and their rope is strongly stretched out to them today as it was before today.

Third: We recognize that the people of Egypt, al-Kananah, Allah's Quiver (for arrows) on His earth, will neither gain goodness nor peace as long as one of the two groups drives the army to transform Egypt into a battlefield, instead of transforming the land of the enemy into a war zone. And the other team pushes people to take the streets of Egypt as arena for conflict, to win by establishing vicious secular rule, instead of making the streets of Egypt the square from which the just Khilafah system emerges.

They do not attain goodness, security or peace. Both groups call for the secular republic and the civil state, casting Islam aside. They call for democracy that legislates without Allah and gives sovereignty to the people rather than the Lord of the people.

Nor will they attain goodness, security or peace, as both groups place a constitution from other than what Allah has sent down from authority. Thereby they leave the constitution of the Lord of the Worlds, in which Allah has revealed provisions for the benefit and goodness of the Ummah:

أَلَا يَعْلَمُ مَنْ خَلَقَ وَهُوَ اللَّطِيفُ الْخَبِيرُ

“Does He who created not know, while He is the Subtle, the Acquainted?” [Al-Mulk: 14]

They will not attain goodness, security or peace, while the Camp David Accord between

Q&A: The Stance of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Egypt

the Jewish entity that usurped Palestine and between the former regime and the subsequent regime is still intact, instead of immediately canceling it and throwing it into the dustbin of history.

Furthermore, they will not attain goodness while gas is flowing to the Jewish entity, the violator of Palestine rather than cutting them off. The usurper shall not be nourished from his victim's blood!

They will not attain goodness while public property is flowing into the pockets of the regime, instead of being returned to its rightful owners, the great people of Egypt.

They will not attain goodness while the relations with America are intact, and America's rope extends from Washington to Cairo, instead of cutting it off.

Finally, they will not attain goodness unless Egypt, Allah's Quiver on earth, returns to be one of the pillars of the Khilafah and its history is repeated: The day that it was liberated from the rule of the Romans... it then expelled the Crusaders from the land of Palestine and around Palestine, and liberated the Muslim countries from the Tatars ...Then its path will be completed – Bidhnillah – by liberating Palestine from the Jewish entity, that will be no more than a trace in history.

Fourth: We have studied both groups and found them looking for a solution from the east and west, from home and abroad, mediators from here and there, but they did not find guidance. They are not qualified to find a solution, while the solution they strive for will not produce goodness:

The foreign mediators do not care about Egypt and its people. Does any sane person that possesses cognition and reflection expect a solution from America that contains goodness, when it is America that stands behind the former government, the one before that and the one that followed? America stands behind the killing in the era of the

former regime, the one before it and the one that followed, not interested in the number of deaths and the magnitude of devastation. Their only interest is to stabilize their influence in Egypt and to maintain it. Their past and even their present in Iraq and Afghanistan bear witness to that. Does someone like that seek to find a correct, peaceful solution for Egypt and the people of Egypt? Does Obama and his envoy Kerry want good for Egypt and the people of Egypt? These are bound by their interests; they do not want any good for Islam and Muslims.

Does any conscious person, possessor of vision and insight, expect a solution with goodness from Europe? Britain and its agents were behind the abolition of the Khilafah in the year 1342 AH - 1924 CE. It is the sworn enemy of the Khilafah and those who work for it. Britain is behind the ill-fated Balfour Declaration, and a main supporter of the violation of Palestine by the Jews and the displacement of its people, and then the establishment of an entity for the Jews on the land of Palestine, the land of al-Isra' wa al-Mi'raj, the land of al-Ribat, along with the United States, France and Russia... As for France, on top of being one of the early supporters of the Jewish entity, it has a recent morbid history in the killing of Muslims in Algeria, and even in Mali, which has not yet dried from the blood. As for Russia, its movements in our region after the demise of the Soviet Union are movements in agreement with the United States and its preamble. On top of that, their support of the tyrant of ash-Sham is well-known, as well as their massacres of Muslims in the Caucasus, especially Chechnya, and the destruction of mosques in Kazan, and the arrest of Imams in Tatarstan, even the killing of some of them, and the arrest of the carriers of Islam. Is any good expected from them? As well as China which occupied East Turkistan and leads attacks on the Muslims there. Even if it intervened or mediated, will it bring about any good?

All of these external mediations do not bring along but deadly poison, since they are less concerned with the blood of the people in the land of Egypt, as they are concerned with sucking blood and building interests. They are led by America which considers the land of Egypt its major stronghold in the region. Does any sane person expect grapes from the thorny bush? Do Europe's Ashton, Obama's Kerry, or any of the foreign countries mentioned, bring along goodness, or even the slightest hint of goodness?

كَيْفَ وَإِنْ يَظْهَرُوا عَلَيْكُمْ لَا يَرْقُبُوا فِيكُمْ إِلَّا وَلَا ذِمَّةً يُرْضُونَكُمْ بِأَفْوَاهِهِمْ وَتَأْبَى قُلُوبُهُمْ وَأَكْثَرُهُمْ فَاسِقُونَ

“How [can there be a treaty] while, if they gain dominance over you, they do not observe concerning you any pact of kinship or covenant of protection? They satisfy you with their mouths, but their hearts refuse [compliance], and most of them are defiantly disobedient.” [At-Tawba: 8]

Whereas the mediators from the inside are trapped in a vicious circle: They want a solution through what is offered by two groups who wish to maintain the Republican system, and civilian secular rule, and democracy that gives the right of legislation to humans without the Lord of humans, and the sovereignty to the people rather than to the Lord of the people, and releases personal, and religious freedom, as well as the freedom of ownership and opinion, with all that these freedoms drag along from scourge. This is the work of the mediators. They call this group to give up something, and the other group to give up something, and agree on the same system that is the cause of affliction and misery! What hurts most is that some of these mediators are Muslims who read in the book of Allah:

(وَأَقِيمُوا الصَّلَاةَ)

“And establish prayer” [Al-Baqarah: 43]

Therefore they pray and refuse to abandon prayer. They read another Ayah in the Book of Allah:

(وَأَنِ احْكُم بَيْنَهُم بِمَا أَنْزَلَ اللَّهُ)

“And judge, [O Muhammad], between them by what Allah has revealed” [Al-Ma’ida: 49]

But they give up on this and are “embarrassed” to mention the application of the Hudud (fixed punishments). Panic would enter their hearts if the two groups demanded the Khilafah system, because they fear the wrath of America, Europe, Russia and China. Verily, this is a strange matter!

Fifth: Thus the current mediators from home and abroad will not find a sound, straight solution for the problems of the land of Egypt and its people. Rather there is a single solution. It is that everyone leads with their hearts and all of their energy towards the implementation of the law of Allah in the Khilafah system, as enjoined by Allah, the Lord of the Worlds, in straightforward evidence from the Book of Allah and the Sunnah of His Messenger (saw) and the consensus of His Companions (ra):

As for the Book: The Almighty said, addressing the Prophet (saw):

فَاحْكُم بَيْنَهُم بِمَا أَنْزَلَ اللَّهُ وَلَا تَتَّبِعْ أَهْوَاءَهُمْ عَمَّا جَاءَكَ مِنَ الْحَقِّ

“So judge between them by what Allah has revealed and do not follow their inclinations away from what has come to you of the truth.” [Al-Ma’ida: 48]

And His saying:

وَأَنِ احْكُم بَيْنَهُم بِمَا أَنْزَلَ اللَّهُ وَلَا تَتَّبِعْ أَهْوَاءَهُمْ وَاحْذَرْهُمْ أَنْ يَفْتِنُوكَ عَنْ بَعْضِ مَا أَنْزَلَ اللَّهُ إِلَيْكَ

“And judge, [O Muhammad], between them by what Allah has revealed and do not follow their inclinations and beware of them, lest they tempt you away from some of what Allah has revealed to you.” [Al-Ma’ida: 49]

In addition to other verses. The address directed at the Messenger (saw) to rule

Q&A: The Stance of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Egypt

amongst them with what has been revealed by Allah is an address to his (saw) Ummah. The underlying concept is for the Ummah to find a ruler after the Messenger of Allah (saw) to judge between them as Allah has revealed. The command in speech is in the form of decisiveness, because the content of the command is obligatory. This is an evidence of decisiveness as defined in the Islamic legal methodology (Usul). The ruler that rules with what Allah has revealed among the Muslims after the Messenger of Allah (saw) is the Khaleefah. And the respective system of governance is the Khilafah system.

As for the Sunnah: It was narrated from Nafi' who said: "Abdullah Bin Umar told me: "I heard the Messenger of Allah (saw) say:

«من خلع يداً من طاعة لقي الله يوم القيامة لا حجة له، ومن مات وليس في عنقه بيعة مات ميتة جاهلية»

"Whoever retracts his hand from obedience will meet Allah on the day of resurrection with no argument for himself. And whoever dies without a Bayah in his neck has died a death of Jahiliyyah." [Reported by Muslim]

The Prophet (saw) has obliged every Muslim to carry a Bayah in his neck, and described the one who dies without a Bayah in his neck as having died a death of Jahiliyyah, thus indicating the graveness of the sin. The Bayah goes to the Khaleefah after the Prophet (saw) and to no one else.

Muslim narrated from Al-A'raj from Abu Hurayrah from the Prophet (saw) who said:

«إنما الإمام جنة يُقاتل من ورائه ويُتقى به»

"The Imam is a shield. From behind him you fight and behind him you find protection."

Furthermore, Muslim narrated from Abu Hazim who said: "I lived with Abu Hurayrah for five years, and heard him speaking of the Prophet (saw), who said:

«كانت بنو إسرائيل تسوسهم الأنبياء، كلما هلك نبي خلفه نبي، وإنه لا نبي بعدي، وستكون خلفاء فتكثر، قالوا فما تأمرنا؟ قال: فؤا ببيعة الأول فالأول، وأعطوهم حقهم، فإن الله سائلهم عما استرعاهم»

"Bani Israel were led by the Prophets. Whenever a Prophet perished, another Prophet followed him. There is no Prophet after me, but there will be Khulafa' who will increase in number. They said: 'What do you order us?' He said: 'Obey the one who is given the Bayah first, and give them their right. For Allah will ask them about what He placed under their guardianship.'"

These Ahadeeth contain a description of the Khaleefah as a shield, i.e. a protection. These Ahadeeth also contain the fact that the ones who lead the Muslims are the Khulafa'. Thereby these Ahadeeth state a request for their establishment.

As for the Consensus of the Companions: They (ra) agreed on the necessity of the establishment of a Khaleefah to the Messenger of Allah (saw) after his death. The consensus of the companions over the establishment of a Khaleefah was confirmed by the delayed burial of the Messenger of Allah (saw) after his death, and their best effort to appoint a Khaleefah to him, although the burial of the dead after his death is an obligation. The companions who had to engage in the processing of the Prophet (saw) and his burial, a part of them worked for the appointment of the Khaleefah, while the other part took care of the burial of the Prophet (saw). The other companions remained silent over this effort, and participated in delaying the burial for two nights although they would have been able to object and hold the burial. The Prophet (saw) died Monday morning, and remained without burial through Tuesday night and Tuesday, when Abu Bakr (ra) was given the Bayah. Then the Messenger (saw) was buried in the middle of the night, during the night to Wednesday. Therefore the burial was delayed for two nights and Abu Bakr was given the Bayah before the burial of the

Q&A: The Stance of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Egypt

Prophet (saw). Hence there was a consensus on the priority of engaging in the appointment of the Khaleefah over the burial of the dead, which is evidence for the enormity of the obligation to appoint a Khaleefah.

Thus, the duty of Muslims when there is no ruler who rules by Islam, the Khalifah, is to exert their effort to find one. As he is the one who implements the proscribed punishments (hudood) that are made obligatory by Allah, the Lord of the Worlds, which is a great obligation that brings goodness for the Ummah and straightening it. Directed by Ibn Majah in his Sunan Abu Hurayrah said: RasulAllah (saw) said:

«حَدَّثَ يُعْمَلُ بِهِ فِي الْأَرْضِ، خَيْرٌ لِأَهْلِ الْأَرْضِ مِنْ أَنْ يُنْظَرُوا
أَرْبَعِينَ صَبَاحًا»

“Carrying out a proscribed punishment (hadd) on the earth is better than forty days of rain”.

This is the solution to the problems of Egypt and the Muslim countries, it brings the good for them and the impact of this goodness spreads to the world.

Sixth: We have been watching the events and are saddened to see blood and destruction, and it was more saddening that the parties are all looking for a solution everywhere except in Islam, which they believe in and they pray and fast and worship Allah!

Since we do not see a solution, except that the parties accept the Khilafah system, and because those parties were distancing themselves from the Khilafah system and from the people working for its return, we feared that our reform between the two sides, by offering the Khilafah system, will be like a call in the air or blowing in the ashes. So we chose to anticipate and to stay away, perhaps Allah will bring about after that a different matter.

This is what prevented us from intervention. Despite of our communications

with both teams, the leaders of the two teams are not accepting the Khilafah and its people, and their hearts are fearful to mention the Khilafah for fear of provoking the West, as they say. So if this is their stand, how can we engage in mediation or reconciliation between them?

We are prepared to exert efforts in the reconciliation between them, but to bring them upon the Khilafah system. If we learn from the two teams, that they are embarking on arbitrating Allah’s law, and are ready to announce the Khilafah, and raise the banner, the banner of Alokab, the banner of “There is no god but Allah and Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah”, then we will not spare any effort in so. We will be prepared to mediate between the two teams to bring them upon a single system, that is the Khilafah system. **We are able, by Allah’s will, to put it in place, in terms of the procedures for the establishment of the Khilafah, and its stability, security and safety. As well as the return of Egypt, the Kananah of Allah in His land, again powerful by its Lord, and degnified by its religion. Egypt that eliminates the enemies of Allah, and strikes anyone who wants evil to Islam and Muslims and the people of the dhimma in it’s land.**

We know what we say, and we mean what we say, and anyone who knows Hizb ut Tahrir, its past and present confirms this, and then Allah will assist.

This is how reconciliation and reform should be, and this is the way we follow. Perhaps the two teams, after the humbleness and misery that they suffered from, realize that the right solution to the problems of the Ummah is the Khilafah system. It is the system of the Lord of the worlds, the Most Knowing and the Wisest for what amends His servants in the world and the Hereafter. The approval of the two teams on that will perhaps be the cause for the return of the glorious history of this Ummah that Allah described as:

كُنْتُمْ خَيْرَ أُمَّةٍ أُخْرِجَتْ لِلنَّاسِ تَأْمُرُونَ بِالْمَعْرُوفِ وَتَنْهَوْنَ
عَنِ الْمُنْكَرِ وَتُؤْمِنُونَ بِاللَّهِ

“You are the best nation produced [as an example] for mankind. You enjoin what is right and forbid what is wrong and believe in Allah”. [Ali-Imran: 110]

So that the Khilafah is restored once again, and Islam and its people are honored, and the Kufr and its people are humiliated, and the goodness spread throughout the world, and that is not difficult for Allah.

In conclusion, we realize that someone will describe us as "They are people who dream", and another will describe us as "Their religion has deluded them", and a third one will say, "We live in a world that is controlled by America and the West". While a fourth will conclude saying, "The Khilafah has ended and will not return".....

But at the same time we realize that Allah the Almighty says:

(وَعَدَ اللَّهُ الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا مِنْكُمْ وَعَمِلُوا الصَّالِحَاتِ لَيَسْتَخْلِفَنَّهُمْ
فِي الْأَرْضِ كَمَا اسْتَخْلَفَ الَّذِينَ مِنْ قَبْلِهِمْ وَلَيُمَكِّنَنَّ لَهُمْ دِينَهُمُ
الَّذِي أَرْتَضَى لَهُمْ وَلَيُبَدِّلَنَّهُمْ مِنْ بَعْدِ خَوْفِهِمْ أَمْنًا يَعْبُدُونَنِي لَا
يُشْرِكُونَ بِي شَيْئًا وَمَنْ كَفَرَ بَعْدَ ذَلِكَ فَأُولَئِكَ هُمُ الْفَاسِقُونَ)

“Allah has promised those who have believed among you and done righteous deeds that He will surely grant them succession [to authority] upon the earth just as He granted it to those before them and that He will surely establish for them [therein] their religion which He has preferred for them and that He will surely substitute for them, after their fear, security, [for] they worship Me, not associating anything with Me. But whoever disbelieves after that - then those are the defiantly disobedient”. [An-Nur: 55]

We also realize that the Prophet (swa) says as narrated by Ahmad and Abu Dawood Al-Tayaalisi from Hudhayfah ibnu al-Yaman, who said: RasulAllah (saw) said:

«إِنَّكُمْ فِي النَّبُوءَةِ مَا شَاءَ اللَّهُ أَنْ تَكُونُوا، ثُمَّ يَرْفَعُهَا إِذَا شَاءَ أَنْ
يَرْفَعَهَا، ثُمَّ تَكُونُ خِلَافَةً عَلَىٰ مِنْهَاجِ النَّبُوءَةِ، فَتَكُونُ مَا شَاءَ

اللَّهُ أَنْ تَكُونُوا، ثُمَّ يَرْفَعُهَا إِذَا شَاءَ أَنْ يَرْفَعَهَا، ثُمَّ تَكُونُ مَلَكًا
عَاضًا، فَيَكُونُ مَا شَاءَ اللَّهُ أَنْ يَكُونَ، ثُمَّ يَرْفَعُهَا إِذَا شَاءَ أَنْ
يَرْفَعَهَا، ثُمَّ تَكُونُ جَبْرِيَّةً، فَتَكُونُ مَا شَاءَ اللَّهُ أَنْ تَكُونُوا، ثُمَّ
يَرْفَعُهَا إِذَا شَاءَ أَنْ يَرْفَعَهَا، ثُمَّ تَكُونُ خِلَافَةً عَلَىٰ مِنْهَاجِ
النَّبُوءَةِ».

“Prophethood will last among you for as long as Allah wills. Then Allah will take it away. Then it will be (followed by) a khilafah Rashida (rightly guided) on the pattern of Prophethood. It will remain for as long as Allah wills, then Allah will take it away. Afterwards there will be a hereditary leadership which will remain for as long as Allah wills, then He will lift it if He wishes. Afterwards there will be biting oppression, and it will last for as long as Allah wishes, then He will lift it if He wishes then there will be a Khilafah Rashida according to the ways of the Prophethood. Then he kept silent”.

Every sane person with heart, and a possessor of vision and insight realizes that the words of those is rejected and void, and has no value in the eyes of the wise men. While the words of Allah the Almighty and the words of His Messenger (saw) is the truth and that it will be.

فَمَاذَا بَعْدَ الْحَقِّ إِلَّا الضَّلَالُ فَأَنَّى تُصْرَفُونَ

“And what can be beyond truth except error? So how are you averted?” [Yunus: 32]

Finally, the conclusion is that we watch the situation and communicate what we can with both parties perhaps Allah will bring about after that a different matter.

إِنَّ اللَّهَ مَعَ الَّذِينَ اتَّقَوْا وَالَّذِينَ هُمْ مُحْسِنُونَ

“Indeed, Allah is with those who fear Him and those who are doers of good”. [An-nahl:128].

وَنُرِيدُ أَنْ نَمُنَّ عَلَى الَّذِينَ اسْتُضْعِفُوا فِي الْأَرْضِ وَنَجْعَلَهُمْ
أُمَّةً وَنَجْعَلَهُمُ الْوَارِثِينَ

“And We wanted to confer favor upon those who were oppressed in the land and

make them leaders and make them inheritors” [Al-Qasas: 5]

وَاللَّهُ غَالِبٌ عَلَىٰ أَمْرِهِ وَلَكِنَّ أَكْثَرَ النَّاسِ لَا يَعْلَمُونَ

“And Allah is predominant over His affair, but most of the people do not know”

[Yusuf: 21]

11 Muharram 1435 AH

14/11/2013 CE

Continued from Page 26

truth to manifest it over all religion, though those who associate others with Allah dislike it.” [At-Tawba: 32-33]

O Muslims in the land of the coming Khilafah; the land of Ash-Sham, the house of Islam, Insha’Allah Ta’ala:

Lavrov who challenged the Muslims in their Deen openly and in daylight in his foul address, appeared to be the Official Spokesman of Bashar’s state! He declared his flagrant animosity for the groups working to establish the Khilafah State. At the same time he stated: “His country does not exclude the participation of armed Syrian opposition in the Geneva 2 Conference as long as they are not thinking of establishing a Khilafah.” These are the ones like the Head of the National Syrian Coalition, Ahmad Al Jarba who from the same podium in the UN and in the same round have accused the Islamic extremist of hijacking the revolution and he considers that they have no connection to the revolution. Also it includes his secular teacher, Mishal Kilo, who from the same source as Lavrov’s, stated: “We must not underestimate what these organisations do against the revolution... we must not under any circumstances continue to ignore its dangers...but to ignore them, it is a deadly mistake and likewise it is a mistake of the

same gravity to engage with them on the basis of the thought that views the opposition to the regime is a priority.”

An openly hostile position like this against Islam and the Khilafah requires a response from the Muslims that rises to its level; that is by declaring openly that their leader is the Messenger of Allah (saw) and that his method for change is their method and establishing of the Khilafah ar-Rashida is the objective of their revolution. Allah (swt) says:

مَا كَانَ لِأَهْلِ الْمَدِينَةِ وَمَنْ حَوْلَهُمْ مِنَ الْأَعْرَابِ أَنْ يَتَخَلَّفُوا عَنْ رَسُولِ اللَّهِ وَلَا يَرْعَبُوا بِأَنفُسِهِمْ عَنْ نَفْسِهِ ذَلِكَ بِأَنَّهُمْ لَا يُصِيبُهُمْ ظَمَأٌ وَلَا نَصَبٌ وَلَا مَخْمَصَةٌ فِي سَبِيلِ اللَّهِ وَلَا يَطْنُونَ مَوْطِنًا يَغِيظُ الْكُفَّارَ وَلَا يَنَالُونَ مِنْ عَدُوِّ نِيْلًا إِلَّا كُتِبَ لَهُمْ بِهِ عَمَلٌ صَالِحٌ إِنَّ اللَّهَ لَا يُضِيعُ أَجْرَ الْمُحْسِنِينَ * وَلَا يُنْفِقُونَ نَفَقَةً صَغِيرَةً وَلَا كَبِيرَةً وَلَا يَقْطَعُونَ وَادِيًا إِلَّا كُتِبَ لَهُمْ لِيَجْزِيَهُمُ اللَّهُ أَحْسَنَ مَا كَانُوا يَعْمَلُونَ

“It was not [proper] for the people of Madinah and those surrounding them of the Bedouins that they remain behind after [the departure of] the Messenger of Allah or that they prefer themselves over his self. That is because they are not afflicted by thirst or fatigue or hunger in the cause of Allah, nor do they tread on any ground that enrages the disbelievers, nor do they inflict upon an enemy any infliction but that is registered for them as a righteous deed. Indeed, Allah does not allow to be lost the reward of the doers of good.” [At-Tawba: 120]

America Seeks A Permanent Presence Through Talks

The media in Pakistan has raised questions regarding the matter of talks with the Taleban. Hizb ut-Tahrir's Media Office in Pakistan has thereby issued the following press briefing. It is being asked, in the light of the drone attack which killed a Taleban leader, how can it be said that US desires talks with the Taleban? Are such talks not a sign of victory of the Muslims over America? Why would America need talks with "moderate" Taleban at all?

The Media Office issues the following points for consideration:

1. Regarding the issue of talks with Taleban in general, America needs a negotiated settlement to provide legitimacy for its presence after its partial withdrawal, whether it is the world's second largest American embassy in Islamabad or nine bases in Afghanistan, or over 100,000 of its personnel, or other than that. So the Taleban within Afghanistan are important as they are from the Pushtoon, whose support is needed for any agreement to have practical meaning or weight. Indeed, the Pushtoon, collectively, are the dominant faction in Afghanistan throughout its existence.

As for the Pushtoon in Pakistan's tribal areas in particular, America also requires their support for settling matters according to its plan. The Pushtoon have strong relations with the Pushtoon of the Afghanistan and the Pakistan-Afghanistan border, the colonialis-drawn Durand line, has little meaning for them. Part of gaining the support for talks is to curb their support for the resistance to the American occupation. For this America needs to keep Pakistan's armed forces engaged in the tribal areas to fight the resistance on its behalf, as well as discredit the resistance in the eyes of the Pushtoon as much as it can. Indeed by

discrediting and suppressing the resistance to America's occupation, the Americans hope that the common Pushtoon is more likely to support the negotiations between the regimes and the "moderate" Taleban. Moreover, it is noticed that the Taleban in Afghanistan and the neighboring tribal areas of Pakistan are a loose groupings that can be infiltrated and influenced easily. Thus, America distinguishes within these groupings between the "moderate" and "hard-line" Taleban.

2. Indeed, America is well aware of Muslims' suspicions regarding its attempts to secure a presence. Such suspicions are found within the Taleban, the ulema of Deoband, the Pushtoon, the Muslims in general and the armed forces in particular. It has been noticed previously that America sensed the failure of the Kayani-Zardari regime to win the public for its support for the war on terror. It appears that a factor that compelled America to bring back Nawaz Sharif to power, retrieving him from the dustbin of history into which it threw him at the hands of its agent Musharraf, is the expectation that Nawaz will appease the Islamic and right-wing, nationalist opposition to the war on terror. Nawaz and his party, the Pakistan Muslim League – Nawaz (PML-N) have roots in the conservative section of the society. To deceive the people of Pakistan, America has allowed its willing agent Nawaz to make prominent the issues of "ending drone strikes" and "peace negotiations for the withdrawal." By adopting an anti-American stance, America hopes Nawaz can disguise his alliance with US and serve US with less resistance, as others have done in the past, such as other agents in the Muslim world, who are full of anti-American rhetoric, whilst they open the doors to America in every way that they can.

America Seeks A Permanent Presence Through Talks

3. As a part of this strategy of cursing the master in order to fulfill the master's wishes, America has allowed its agents to promote the idea that it is against talks. So America appears as if it is against the negotiations in order to encourage the Muslims. This is a "double game" by which Muslims would pursue the talks in the belief that they are undermining American influence, because on the face of it America opposes the talks. Moreover, the regime spread the lie that the talks are a sign of victory against America, even though American needs the talks to secure whatever it can after a long and costly war. Thus, America's confirmed agents, both in Pakistan and Afghanistan, are playing along with this "double game." This was seen recently, just after the return of Nawaz Sharif from America, with fresh instructions. America killed a leader of the Taliban in Pakistan, Hakeemullah Mehsud. The agents raised a hue and cry that the talks are being threatened. Dawn reported on 1 November 2013, "Condemning the drone strikes, Interior Minister Chaudhry Nisar said these were aimed at sabotaging efforts to establish peace in the country. "A delegation was about to be sent for talks to the Taliban tomorrow (Saturday)," the minister said hinting that a "senior militant commander" may have been killed in Friday's strike. Nisar's statement came before public confirmation that the senior militant commander was Hakimullah." Then on 2 November 2013, Dawn reported, "Calling the drone strike that killed Pakistani Taliban chief Hakimullah Mehsud on Friday "an attack on regional peace by America," Interior Minister Chaudhry Nisar Ali Khan Saturday said bilateral ties with the US will now be reviewed." And on 2 November 2013, Dawn reported, "Federal Information Minister Pervez Rashid told reporters in Islamabad that the government wanted to press ahead with its plan to negotiate with Mehsud's Tehrik-i-Taliban Pakistan (TTP). "We can say that this time drone struck the peace talks but we will not let the peace talks die," Rasheed said." As

for America's stooge in Afghanistan, the Tribune carried from AFP on 4 November 2013, "KABUL: Afghan President Hamid Karzai has criticised the "unsuitable" timing of the US killing of Tehreek-e-Taliban leader Hakimullah Mehsud and expressed hope it will not derail regional peace efforts. Karzai told a visiting US Congress delegation that the drone strike "took place at an unsuitable time but he hoped as a result the peace process is not harmed," his office said in a statement released late Sunday."

4. The "double game" is why it is also noticed that whilst condemning the drone strike, America's men on the ground insisted on pursuing talks with the Taleban. On 3 November 2013, Dawn reported, "Pakistan's foreign ministry said it had summoned US ambassador Richard Olson to protest over the drone strike that killed Mehsud and another that hit a day earlier. The ministry statement also stressed that despite the drone strike the government was "determined to continue with efforts to engage the TTP". And on 3 November 2013, Dawn reported, "ISLAMABAD, Nov 2: JUI-F chief Maulana Fazlur Rehman urged the government on Saturday to remain steadfast in its commitment and resolve for talks with the Taliban even after the latest US drone strike in which Taliban leader Hakeemullah Mehsud was killed. The Maulana said in a statement that the death of Hakeemullah had led to creation of an emotional environment in the country. He said because of the TTP chief's killing, the process of talks might be delayed for a few days, but the enemies of peace and reconciliation should not be allowed to succeed."

5. So this is on the one hand, America is made to appear to oppose the talks, even though it is in dire need of talks with "moderate" Taleban on both sides of the border to secure a presence in the region, that its cowardly troops and collapsing economy could not secure through the battlefield. On the other hand, America is also trying to convince the

America Seeks A Permanent Presence Through Talks

Muslims that the “hard line” Taleban is not a threat to America alone, but also to the Muslims themselves. This is to discredit the resistance and build an atmosphere for talks, rather than continuous fighting of the occupation.

For this, America has supervised waves of assassinations and bombings in Pakistan, whilst its agents have cried, “See, it’s our war as well, after all.” This criminal and destructive campaign is a hallmark of American intelligence and private military the world over. They are known as “false flag attacks,” attacks made in the name of the enemy, to incite troops against the enemy and discredit the enemy. Such attacks are not difficult to arrange as American intelligence and private military organizations are skilled in conducting the operations directly or through infiltration of groups, either directly or through its agents in Pakistan's agencies. It is noticed that all American assets are untouched, such as the embassy, consulates, FBI and CIA bases, whilst the Muslims count their dead in the mosques, markets and schools. Thus, using this violence, America embarked on building a new narrative in which the presence of Pakistan Army in FATA is to protect Pakistan from an internal threat. This is to hide the actual purpose of the operations which is to stop the Pashtun Muslims from crossing the border into Afghanistan and waging war against US occupation. And America has tied such violence with a favorable public opinion for its war. On 1 December 2009, Obama declared, “There have been those who have said fighting against extremism is not their fight... as innocents have been killed from Karachi to Islamabad, it has become clear that it is the Pakistan people who are the most endangered by extremism.” Then again, after nearly three years of bombings and assassinations, on 12 October 2012, Victoria Nuland, the US State Department’s spokesperson, said, “So obviously, the degree to which the Pakistani people turn against

them help their government to go after them. That would be, perhaps, a silver lining from this horrible tragedy.”

This brutal violence will continue so that the agents of America have a justification to keep Pakistan's armed forces engaged in the tribal regions. Indeed, America is aware that the people of the Pakistan are suspicious of the America's war, presence and the talks over its withdrawal. In fact majority of the Pakistan's masses are against Pakistan's military conducting operations in the FATA region. So America will maintain its efforts to exaggerate the threat of TTP so that an excuse can be created for the Pakistani masses for the presence of Pakistan Army in FATA region. Thus, as part of its strategy, America is fighting the Muslims in Afghanistan, whilst talking of withdrawal and transition to the Afghan army. And the other part, is ensuring that the Pakistan Army fights with the Taleban in Pakistan's neighboring tribal areas. In this way, America hopes to secure its presence within the region.

6. Thus, America is not interested in peace either in Afghanistan or in Pakistan. It wants to minimize its involvement in conducting military operations in the region, with the reputation of its armed forces shattered and its economy collapsing, if it has not collapsed entirely. However, it must retain a sizeable military footprint in the region for strategic purposes, otherwise all of its efforts thus far will have been for naught. For America the way forward to achieve such an objective is to secure a settlement for its presence. In addition, it will wave the threat of the Taleban as a justification for its presence well beyond 2014. Indeed, one of the conditions of the US-Afghanistan strategic pact for American troops stationed in Afghanistan beyond 2014 is to allow America to conduct independent counter terrorism operations. Also, the US President, Barack Obama, was careful to add the continued threat of extremists, whilst talking about withdrawal and transition. On 23rd May 2013, Obama said "In Afghanistan,

America Seeks A Permanent Presence Through Talks

we will complete our transition to Afghan responsibility for security. Our troops will come home. Our combat mission will come to an end. And we will work with the Afghan government to train security forces, and sustain a counter-terrorism force which ensures that al Qaeda can never again establish a safe-haven to launch attacks against us or our allies. Beyond Afghanistan, we must define our effort not as a boundless 'global war on terror' – but rather as a series of persistent, targeted efforts to dismantle specific networks of violent extremists that threaten America. In many cases, this will involve partnerships with other countries." America has left open the doors for it to stay under the excuse of countering terrorism, even though it is the biggest terrorist in the region.

7. In conclusion: America is trying to secure a peace deal with the Taleban to secure her presence. She knows that even after such a deal she will have a justification for remaining in Afghanistan under the guise of counter-terrorist operations in the country and training the Afghan Army. As for Pakistan, America realizes that no peace deal in Afghanistan can be reached without taming the Pashtun belt bordering Afghanistan, the tribal areas of Pakistan. The matter for the aware Muslim is to realize the danger of the American presence within Muslim lands, whether it is their diplomatic, military, private military or intelligence presence. It is this presence which supervises the bombings. It is this presence that drives the talks and their direction. It is this presence that has caused all manner of harm to the Muslims. Islam requires the eradication of this presence. This will neither be achieved by groups nor by talks, but by a state mobilizing a threat of force through what it possesses of armed forces.

Moreover let us know well that America has never succeeded since its founding to ever solve any international issue. Moreover, it is less capable than ever before, with its economy collapsing, if not completely collapsed, and the reputation of her cowardly

troops in tatters. Yet its agents in the Muslim World continue to work in fear and awe, just as the Jinn toiled in the mountains after the passing of Suleiman AS to Paradise. And yet they toil to maintain American influence in our lands, even if it were for a single day longer.

The American failure was inevitable due to the corruption and invalidity of capitalism, which America holds and works to impose on the world, as well as due also to the political impotence of U.S. policymakers. However, there will only be real statesmen to look after the affairs of the world with righteousness and justice, the likes of Omar al-Faruq RA, under the Khilafah state soon, inshaaAllah, and the foremost of them will be the shebaab of Hizb ut-Tahrir. For these shebaab are the ones who will teach America and the West in general a lesson in how to actually tend to the affairs of the people, within the Khilafah state. Moreover, they will play with the so-called international community on the tips of their fingers, and tomorrow is to arrive soon.

وَقَدْ مَكَرُوا مَكْرَهُمْ وَعِنْدَ اللَّهِ مَكْرُهُمْ وَإِنْ كَانَ
(مَكْرُهُمْ لِتَزُولَ مِنْهُ الْجِبَالُ)

“Indeed, they planned their plot, and their plot was with Allah, though their plot was not such as to remove the mountains from their places.” [Surah Ibrahim 14:46]

Media Office

Wilayah of Pakistan

Nusrat for Khilafah Bayyan Campaign

Pakistan's Armed Forces Must Secure the Return of the Khilafah, Fearing Allah (swt) Alone

Hizb ut-Tahrir Wilayah Pakistan is conducting a campaign of public addresses (Bayaans) throughout the major cities of Pakistan. Its brave Shabaab are calling upon the armed forces to grant the Nusrat for the return of the Khilafah, in accordance to the methodology of RasulAllah (saw) who established Islam as a state in Madinah through the Nusrat from the Ansar (ra). It is urging the masses to contact all those that they know from the armed forces, their fathers, uncles, brothers and sons, to abandon any support for the invalid, kufr democracy and secure the implementation of Islam through its state the Khilafah.

O officers of Pakistan's armed forces!
Allah (swt) said, ((وَاتَّقُوا فِتْنَةً لَا تُصِيبَنَّ الَّذِينَ ظَلَمُوا))
((مِنْكُمْ خَاصَّةً وَاعْلَمُوا أَنَّ اللَّهَ شَدِيدُ الْعِقَابِ))
"And fear the Fitnah (affliction and trial) which affects not in particular (only) those of you who do wrong, and know that Allah is severe in punishment." [Surah Al-Anfaal 8:25] Our Iman compels us never to fear the oppressor, rather we fear the wrath of Allah (swt) for our silence before the consuming Fitna the oppressor causes, which has drowned our people in economic misery and foreign policy humiliation. Enough of the likes of Musharraf and Kayani within your ranks! Enough of their obedience to our enemies and support to the likes of Zardari and Sharif! Strive now with Hizb ut-Tahrir to end their treachery decisively, stripping their American masters of any way into our affairs. Strive knowing that no harm can befall you without the permission of Allah (swt) should you strive in His (swt) way. Allah (swt) said, ((قُلْ مَنْ ذَا الَّذِي يَعْصِمُكُمْ مِنَ اللَّهِ إِنْ أَرَادَ بِكُمْ سُوءًا أَوْ أَرَادَ بِكُمْ رَحْمَةً وَلَا يَجِدُونَ لَهُمْ مِنْ دُونِ اللَّهِ وَلِيًّا وَلَا نَصِيرًا))
"Say: "Who is he who can protect you from Allah if He intends to harm you, or intends

mercy on you" And they will not find, besides Allah, for themselves any protector or any helper." [Surah Al-Ahzab 33:17]



Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Wilayah Pakistan

IMPORTANT NOTICE

For The Urdu-Speaking Muslim World

**Hizb ut-Tahrir's Central Media Office
has an Urdu-text based website.**

The Urdu website is an essential media source for hundreds of millions in this Ummah of Muhammad SAW, who use Urdu in their daily lives.

www.hizb-ut-tahrir.info/info/urdu.php

Contents include:

**Press releases and leaflets issued by the various Wilayat of
Hizb ut-Tahrir, from Indonesia to Morocco**

**Multimedia coverage about the vigorous struggle of Hizb ut-Tahrir
for the return of the Khilafah to the Muslim World**